

**LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

7:00 P.M., Wednesday, August 10, 2011
Wayzata City Hall

1. CALL TO ORDER

Baasen called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m.

2. ROLL CALL

Members present:; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Andrew McDermott, Orono; Kelsey Page, Greenwood; Anne Hunt, Minnetrista; Chris Jewett, Minnetonka; Steve Johnson, Mound; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Jeff Morris, Excelsior; Bill Olson, Victoria; and Debbie Siakel, Shorewood. Also present: Charlie LeFevere, LMCD Counsel; Greg Nybeck, Executive Director, Judd Harper, Administrative Technician, and Emily Herman, Administrative Assistant

Members absent: Doug Babcock, Tonka Bay; David Gross, Deephaven; Herb Suerth, Woodland; and Roger Swanson, Spring Park.

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Baasen proposed the following amendments to the agenda: 1) remove agenda item 6C from the consent agenda for discussion under agenda item 9D, and 2) add the review of the new LMCD website by Harper under agenda item 9C. Additionally, he stated that the video equipment for the taping of this meeting has been repaired.

MOTION: McDermott moved, Hunt seconded to approve the agenda as amended, making the changes noted by Baasen above.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

4. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS, Vice Chair Baasen

Baasen stated that the cancelled Workshop/Planning Session of July 27th has been rescheduled for August 24th (in lieu of the regularly scheduled meeting). Additionally, he asked Nybeck to provide an update on the harvester incident of July 26th.

Nybeck stated that on the afternoon of July 26th, one of the three LMCD harvester's pontoon took water on and capsized in North Arm Bay. He publically extended the LMCD's gratitude and recognized the efficient and professional services provided to the LMCD by three private companies that were instrumental in the response and recovery of the harvester. These companies included Tonka Bay Marina, Don Anthony Multi-Services, and Minnetonka Portable Dredging. He believed that this incident provided first-hand experience why services such as those offered by the above noted companies are needed on Lake Minnetonka.

Baasen solicited a motion to recognize and thank the three companies (recognizing their professional service in assisting the LMCD and making it a lesser event than it could have been).

MOTION: Olson moved, McDermott seconded to publically recognize and thank Tonka Bay Marina, Don

Anthony Multi-Services, and Minnetonka Portable Dredging for their efficient and professional service they provided the LMCD in the recovery efforts of the capsized harvester.

Jewett proposed a friendly amendment to recognize not only the three private companies noted above, but the public agencies that also provided their efficient and professional service (Hennepin County Sheriff's Water Patrol, City of Orono Police Department, and the Long Lake Fire Department). Olson and McDermott agreed to the friendly amendment.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

Baasen asked Jewett to comment on the Minnetonka Yacht Club's (MYC) Inland Championship Regatta that was going to be held on Lake Minnetonka.

Jewett stated that 1,000 competitors from as far as Colorado and New Jersey (representing three sections) will start arriving tomorrow and continue racing over the next 11 days. The MYC has been working closely with Nybeck in the coordination of pressure spraying every boat going into the water, as well as actively communicating the new State of Minnesota Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) transportation laws to every participant.

Baasen noted the documentation of AIS transportation laws on the Inter-Lake Yachting Association's website. He appreciated all the educational and compliance efforts made in the coordination of this substantial event held on Lake Minnetonka.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – 7/13/11 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

MOTION: McDermott moved, Jewett seconded to approve the minutes from the 7/13/11 LMCD Regular Board Meeting as submitted.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

6. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Nybeck directed the Board to an amended Audit of vouchers (8/1/11 – 8/15/11) in the Board's handout folder. Check #19191, in the amount of \$989.05 to Tallen and Baertschi, was added for services provided in June.

McDermott moved, Jewett seconded to approve the consent agenda as amended, making the changes noted by Baasen (under agenda item 3 above) and the amended 8/1/11 – 8/15/11 Audit of Vouchers. Motion carried unanimously. Item so approved included: **6A**, Audit of vouchers (7/16/11 – 7/31/11) and (8/1/11 – 8/15/11), and **6B**, June financial summary and balance sheets.

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Persons in attendance, subjects not on the agenda (limited to 5 minutes)

Mr. Gabriel Jabbour, 985 Tonkawa Road in Orono, stated that he participated during the recent Hennepin County Sheriff's Water Patrol (Water Patrol) recovery efforts of a drowning victim in Lake Minnetonka. He

acknowledged the search efforts of the Water Patrol, Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD), the Minnetonka Power Squadron, and the Ramsey County Sheriff's Department. His main purpose for being here this evening was to publically acknowledge the need to learn from these experiences and understand how important the availability of high-end technology equipment is during search efforts. He reviewed historical recovery practices that were utilized up until the Water Patrol's receipt of specialized sonar equipment (purchased with assistance from the Save the Lake Fund). This equipment provides a more efficient and humane way of locating a victim. Additionally, the MCWD also maintains sonar equipment; providing for the ability to join forces and search a larger area of the lake. He explained that once a possible victim is spotted, a diver must confirm its existence (expounding on the difficulties of this task). The collaboration efforts from Ramsey County Sheriff's Department assisted by use of their Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV) that was submersed for confirmation of the body prior to release of a diver, which was of great assistance. He solicited the Board's consideration to partner with the Water Patrol and budget funds for the purchase of an ROV (providing for more efficient closure to the victims family and less time quarantining the searched area of the lake).

Baasen thanked Jabbour for his comments.

Mr. Bob Ziton, representing Bay View Event Center and Charter Cruises (Bay View), stated that he was in attendance to solicit the Board's approval, on a limited basis (two cruises in August), to anchor a charter boat within 300' from shore at a non port of call location to accommodate a client's request to swim during a chartered cruise. He explained that his client is insistent on this request and has purchased a significant amount of insurance, and other indemnities, to accommodate for such. He acknowledged that their liquor license requires the monitoring of alcohol consumption. Additionally, Bay View would increase staffing for safety purposes, as well as requiring the customers to swim within three feet of water or less and provide a lifeguard. To accommodate the safety factor of three feet of water, they have chosen a location east of Cruisers Cove by Big Island.

Nybeck reviewed Code Section 3.07, subd. 10 b)1) that does not allow for the anchoring of watercraft for hire operating with a liquor license within 300' from shore. Because of this, staff has advised Mr. Ziton that those cruises could not take place per established ordinance. One possible alternative to anchor in the location of interest is to receive an approved port of call. Staff has contacted the City of Orono, which has communicated that such a request would have to be considered by the city council and that it would be questionable at best. To this end, he reiterated that the cruises could not take place and offered to Mr. Ziton the ability to address the Board under "Public Comments" for consideration, most likely, of an ordinance amendment.

McDermott questioned the possibility of obtaining a variance or special event permit.

Nybeck believed there are larger issues for the Board to consider than the issuing of a special event permit to accommodate this request. In particular, the concept of watercraft for hire anchoring at Big Island and allowing their customers to get on and off the boat. He believed that the current ordinance should remain in place at this time; however, this would not preclude the Board from scheduling an agenda item at a future meeting to consider possible amendments.

Ziton stated that they are licensed to allow customers off the boat to swim; however, not within 300' of shore.

Additionally, the Board asked him a few questions, in which the following responses were offered: 1) that his present inquire was based off of his client's request to provide this activity for his guests; acknowledging the request is connected to a marketing theme specific to Big Island, 2) that Bayview came up with the swimming water depth of three feet for safety purposes, 3) that there are eight total cruises during the month of August, which are all held on Friday and Saturday (acknowledging the one cruise to swim is on a Saturday between 11:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.), 4) that the cruise will continue; however, the question is whether it can go to Big Island, and 5) that he was not present to consider an ordinance amendment.

Baasen suggested this matter being addressed by the Public Safety Committee prior to Board consideration.

Nybeck confirmed that the Code does allow for a one-time cruise to anchor at a dock without securing a Port of Call. However, in doing so, the local municipality must allow for it. Additionally, he stated there are few dock locations available for the area he is considering; noting that more than one event would require the addition of a municipality approved Port of Call and LMCD Board approval. He reiterated that the Code does not prohibit any anchoring within 300' from shore. He recognized Ziton took a proactive approach in asking the LMCD for feedback on this request, at which time staff advised that by ordinance the request cannot be granted.

Baasen asked LeFevere to advise if the above procedure, noted by Nybeck, was consistent with LMCD Code.

LeFevere confirmed Nybeck's comments were consistent with LMCD Code. He stated that the LMCD initiated watercraft for hire liquor licensing (previously licensed 100% by the State of Minnesota Liquor Control Division) due to the LMCD's lack of knowledge as to when and where the activity was going to take place. Additionally, this effort allowed for the enforcement of shoreline protection, noise, and other public safety matters; resulting in the anchoring provision of 300' from shore. The requirement for an approved port(s) of call was initiated so that local enforcement agencies were aware of the activity to control land based issues (such as parking) as per their established ordinances. He concurred with Nybeck that Ziton's request would require a code amendment unless he would like to have the location approved as a port of call. If it was thought that a municipality would express concern for the request, the Board may not want to consider the code amendment.

Ziton stated that the watercraft would not be anchored to the beach but out a ways. He asked if that is considered a port of call, in which LeFevere stated that one could make the argument that getting close to the beach is a port of call with city approval; however, that would continue to require a code amendment.

Baasen suggested that the Board direct Nybeck to explore various options for their consideration.

Nybeck stated that the Board could not consider such matters until September.

Ziton stated that he was not in attendance for the Board to consider a code amendment as his event will take place in August.

Baasen thanked both Ziton and Jabbour for their comments.

Jabbour stated that he serves on the Big Island committee with the City of Orono, in which extensive consideration of watercraft for hire activity on Big Island has been reviewed by the committee. He did not believe that the City of Orono has any interest in approving port(s) of call in that area.

8. PUBLIC HEARING

There were no public hearings.

9. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Mike Netherland, update of U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) involvement on five-year coordinated herbicide treatment pilot project.

Baasen asked Nybeck to provide background on this agenda item.

Nybeck stated that herbicide treatments were completed this past spring on two of the three bays covered by the pilot project (Carmans and Phelps) and two additional bays not covered by the pilot project (Gideon and St. Albans). At a June AIS Task Force meeting, Chip Welling from the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR), invited Dr. Netherland from the Corps to address the Task Force as Netherland was in town from Florida conducting fieldwork on these herbicide treatments. At this meeting, the Task Force believed it would be beneficial for Netherland and Welling to coordinate an update to the Board when their schedule permitted. To this end, he invited Welling to address the Board for further background.

Welling stated that we are very lucky to have Dr. Netherland here to speak. The Corps has periodically worked on the lake since 1993 (based on a large project that was completed in 1994). The MN DNR has since greatly benefited from their on-going work, including the collection of very important data on the use of herbicide treatments, which is one of the principal means by which the MN DNR manages invasive species. The Corps has assisted in providing the MN DNR with a better understanding of various study results on both a local and nationwide level. He acknowledged the partnerships involved on the whole bay treatments and thanked the Board for their time.

Netherland addressed the Board (providing the following comments via a PowerPoint presentation):

- He thanked those involved for logistical support (Chip Welling; James Johnson, Freshwater Scientific Services; Gabriel Jabbour, Tonka Bay Marina; and the companies of ReMetrix and SePro).
- The Corps has been involved with the MN DNR for some time and that the Lake Minnetonka herbicide treatments (both the pilot project and the two additional bays) were of interest; providing for the added benefit of being in one location.
- He reviewed commonality of bodies of water in Minnesota and Florida.
- The Corps utilizes field experiments to direct research efforts targeted to plant, site, and herbicide specific issues; acknowledging that research is first and foremost, as well as trying to solve concerns for stakeholders. Additionally, the Corps' research drives use patterns, in which he reviewed. Those use patterns provide for a large sampling of impacts and assist in the consideration of system wide level of treatment vs. chasing milfoil with small treatments for the

opening of access. A draft report of a large, multi-year project, which will include Minnesota research data, will be coming out the end of September.

- He reviewed other projects that the Corps is involved with. These include western irrigation, the early season treatment with aquathol for curlyleaf pondweed, new product registrations for aquatic market (4 registered since 2007), hybrid milfoil and its response to herbicides, and the detection of herbicide resistance (two new finds of resistance in 2010).
- Treatment plans are considered to match many stakeholder desires (acknowledging controversies that exist are consistent nationwide).
- The Corps' management goals are to increase native plant habitat, improve sediment stabilization, and improved clarity. Native plants cannot be removed and their research provides for the native plant's response to a respective treatment.
- He reviewed the Corps' research objectives. He reiterated that Lake Minnetonka was a unique opportunity to evaluate five bays at one location, in which each bay had its own personality. However, the goal is to develop a selective herbicide (i.e., triclopyr) use pattern that can be utilized nationally. The Corps continues to focus on key species of concern (in particular Bulrush, Lilies, and Potamogetons), as well as collecting data via point intercept, biomass, and acoustics.
- He reviewed specific bay treatments and their profiles/results (acknowledging the tracking of herbicide residue (what happens to the herbicides and how does one link that to the control findings). He stated that a thermocline developed later in the year that had significant impact on all the treated bays (providing for longer, more effective exposure to the herbicide).
- He provided his final thoughts of Lake Minnetonka's herbicide treatments (acknowledging that research on the status of the native plants is pending).
- He entertained questions and comments from the Board.

Page requested further clarification on the results on Phelps Bay.

Netherland stated that of the four bays that were treated, Phelps Bay had the most Eurasian Watermilfoil (EWM) present that recovered over the treatment timeframe. However, he believed that presence of EWM was not significant. He acknowledged that St. Albans responded very well to treatment; however, it is a bay with active water movement that brings fragmented milfoil back into the area (consistent to any bay that is treated).

Jewett asked what the status is of native plants currently existing in St. Albans Bay.

Netherland stated that the native plants in St. Albans Bay continue to exist. However, the question to answer is did the treatments have impact to certain native plants that were unexpected or greater than would be expected. He acknowledged there were some species that did have a significant reduction (i.e., water lilies). He stated that the Corps will document what the research data provides and not what an opinion may be. For example, although lilies were significantly reduced, they were still present. Therefore, the data will document a reduction in lilies and not what one's opinion is of their presence.

Jewett stated that he believed one of the questions that the Board is anxious to receive feedback on is how much will the bays need to be treated to control EWM and is there a method of control that will allow for a reduction in treatment before a larger treatment is required. Additionally, he believed that the Board

would like to know if it is viable to do a whole lake herbicide treatment.

Netherland could not speak to the whole lake treatment at this time. However, he acknowledged that one will never be able to declare a bay EWM free due to the open water fragmentation that is present throughout. The Corps will be adding another sampling date in September, which might assist in pre-planning efforts for the spring treatments.

Morris asked for clarification on the State of Wisconsin's research project. He also questioned how close is the research that will provide for a system wide treatment for all bodies of water in Minnesota.

Netherland stated that the State of Wisconsin previously permitted herbicide treatments for nuisance control (similar to the MN DNR). Now they have embarked on a research project to heavily treat an estimated 60 whole lakes for the purpose of knocking the EWM down so that to eliminate repetitive nuisance treatments. He did not see Lake Minnetonka as a viable option for whole lake treatments; acknowledging that the bays would need to be considered for treatment on an individual basis.

McDermott asked for an update on the herbicide treatments on Grays Bay.

Mr. Dick Osgood, Executive Director from the Lake Minnetonka Association (LMA), stated that the whole bay was treated in 2009, spot treatments were done in 2010, and no treatments have taken place in 2011 (primarily due to the high discharge through the dam).

Netherland stated it is not reasonable to believe one can get multiple years of control in a bay with regularly flowing milfoil fragments in a large body of open water. He believed a year without treatment is reasonable; however, two years without treatment would be great.

Osgood concurred with Netherland's comments above; noting that the LMA is looking to have one year breaks (two depending upon conditions) between major treatments for most of the bays. He believed that Grays and St. Albans Bays are similar in that they are self-contained bays. However, they are inconsistent in that a lot of water flows into Grays Bay but not St. Alban's Bay. To this end, he believed that treatment longevity for St. Alban's Bay will exceed what was documented in Grays Bay for 2009.

Baasen asked if the MN DNR is projecting treatments in all five bays next year.

Welling confirmed that 2011 is the fourth year of a five year pilot herbicide treatment project for Carmans, Grays, and Phelps Bays.

Nybeck stated that Carmans, Grays, and Phelps Bay are established through a Lake Vegetation Management Plan (LVMP) for participation in the five year pilot project. The other two bays (Gideon and St. Albans) are independently being treated in cooperation through the MN DNR permitting process. Furthermore, in follow-up to the Board's approved Strategic Work Plan, the AIS Task Force was to analyze the data obtained to date after year four (2011) based off of the goals and objectives of the LVMP. For this reason, the AIS Task Force has scheduled a boat tour of the three bays on August 12th (acknowledging that the Board will look to the AIS Task Force for recommendations on compliance with

the goals and objectives in the LVMP, including whether this project should be expanded to other bays and what costs are associated for such).

Siakel stated that she has received positive feedback from individuals she has spoken to. The analysis of the data could be reviewed; however, she believed that there is no turning back at this point and that a plan should be incorporated to move forward with either the currently treated five bays or devise a plan to treat each bay on an individual basis.

McDermott stated that the effects of native plants need to be taken into consideration, in which Siakel concurred.

Klohs questioned if it is fair to the public to expend an enormous amount of money on an on-going project that cannot eradicate EWM.

Jewett reiterated the need to consider the analysis of the five year project so that Board can properly convey the findings and educate the public to the best of their ability (acknowledging it is the residents' right as to whether they would like to fund such treatments). He did not believe that the Board could continue to fund \$10,000 per bay and that, at some point, the Board will have to get out of that loop.

Netherland believed there will be a time when one can devise an efficient treatment that will provide for consistent results; knowing that the bays will always be subject to re-introduction. A benefit of bay wide treatments is that some bays will have a longer herbicide controlled effect based on its direction of water flow (providing for some non-treated areas to gain the affects of being treated).

Baasen thanked Netherland, Welling, and Osgood for their update on this agenda item.

B. Staff update on 2011 EWM Harvesting Program.

Baasen asked Nybeck for background on this agenda item.

Nybeck directed the Board to his memo, dated 8/4/11, in which he provided the following update:

- That the program was scheduled to start on June 13th; however, delayed until June 27th due to low early EWM growth. He acknowledged this concerned staff and considered making up for lost time via overtime or extending the season during the latter part of the program.
- That the LMCD receives an annually approved Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR) Aquatic Plant Management (APM) permit for the operation of the program. Due to the State shutdown, the permit was suspended on July 1st; suspending the program until it was lifted on July 18th; with a program re-start date of July 21st. During this time a pre-scheduled holiday break was to be provided from July 4th to July 8th.
- Taking into consideration an update provided to the Board at their July 13th meeting, he reviewed program activities from July 21st forward. He reviewed the bays harvested and plans for the remaining three weeks of the scheduled program (August 12th). He confirmed the MN DNR approved grant of \$32,754 was not affected by the State shutdown.
- An assessment of the EWM growth was performed by the site supervisor during the week of July

18th. Management of the growth provided for staff overtime on an as-needed basis, as well as the extension of the program one week; August 19th.

- He reviewed the capsizing of a harvester that occurred on July 26th in North Arm Bay, the retrieval efforts provided by stakeholders/public agencies (as noted under Chair announcements above) who were instrumental to the process, and the numerous follow-up contacts that were made. Additionally, he reviewed the insurance aspects of the incident; financial recovery and cost estimates. An offer from the League of Minnesota Cities is currently being considered and will be presented to the Board in the near future.
- He entertained questions and comments from the Board.

McDermott asked what the milfoil truck loads have been with the low growth season, as well as clarification as to what is currently wrong with the recently capsized harvester.

Nybeck confirmed the truck loads were down about three or four loads per day, which was impacted by the harvester incident as well. Furthermore, he stated that the pontoons on the capsized harvester was compromised and would need to be repaired or replaced, as well as many other parts of the machine. In speaking with Aquarius (the company in which the LMCD purchased the harvester from) a quote for an estimated \$80,000 to \$90,000 for refurbishing the machine was provided. He confirmed there is little to salvage and believed the insurance trust would most likely collect such.

Klohs asked the age of the capsized harvester, in which Nybeck confirmed it was the oldest of the three harvesters (purchased in 1999 or 2000). Additionally, he stated that the life expectancy of a harvester is 10 to 15 years; after which, pontoons are typically compromised from long term use on and off the trailer.

The Board briefly asked questions, in which Nybeck responded to. His responses are noted as follows:

- That rust was present within the pontoons.
- That the harvester did not have a safety alarm system on it (as compared to newer models)
- He confirmed that the Board was notified of the incident via email directly after (acknowledging the cancellation of the scheduled LMCD Workshop/Planning Session of July 27), with status follow-up communication thereafter.
- That the LMCD cannot document a single incident that contributed to the capsizing of the harvester, that operator error was investigated, and that the other harvesters were provided a safety check prior to continued operation.
- That the next step is to receive an offer on the harvester from the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust for communication to the Board in September.

Jewett recommended a review of the LMCD Harvesting Program for future program use prior to consideration of accepting a settlement offer, in which Siakel concurred.

Nybeck stated that should the Board proceed in replacing the harvester, bids would need to be submitted in October for its purchase by January.

C. Staff Review of LMCD Website.

Baasen stated that the new website is now live. He acknowledged many positive aspects to the website and believed it provided for a more efficient communication process to the public. He asked Harper to provide an overview.

Harper stated that staff has been working with Flicker Creative in the designing of the new LMCD website based off of a blog frame network to alleviate the purchasing of new software. Via the overhead technology, he provided a thorough overview of the website and the new initiatives that it offered.

Baasen expressed the importance of the Board's responsibility to check their email for current communication, as well as to take the time to review the website on their own. Additionally, he reminded the Board that staff was available to answer any questions they may have. He congratulated Harper in the launching of the website and thanked him for his hard work.

D. Authorization to advertise for vacant part-time Administrative Clerk position.

Baasen asked Nybeck for background on this agenda item.

Nybeck stated that the LMCD has a part-time Administrative Clerk position currently budgeted for 24-hours per week. This position was previously held by Diane Agnew who moved out of state last April. At that time, staff provided an update to the Board recommending temporary personnel services be utilized throughout the summer months until staff could properly dedicate time to the application process. To this end, staff is soliciting the Board's approval to proceed with that application process via the placement an employment advertisement.

MOTION: McDermott moved, Johnson seconded to authorize advertisement for the vacant part-time Administrative Clerk position.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

10. Update from standing LMCD Committees:

- Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) Task Force
- Finance Committee
- Ordinance Review Committee
- Public Safety Committee
- Save the Lake Committee

Baasen asked for an update from the Chair, or designated representative, of each committee.

AIS Task Force

Page stated that the next AIS Task Force meeting is August 12th, which will provide for a lake tour of the two bays associated with the Herbicide Treatment Program, as well as Grays Bay (non-treated in 2011) time permitting.

Finance Committee

There was no Finance Committee update.

Ordinance Review Committee

Nybeck stated that a committee meeting will be scheduled once staff finalizes work on the public amenities and its respective spreadsheet. Future public involvement on this project is in need of being scheduled. He confirmed that resolution on this matter should be obtained by 2012.

Public Safety Committee

There was no Public Safety Committee update.

Save the Lake

Olson stated that the committee has been working hard to establish a new Save the Lake Fund identity and prepare for various fundraising initiatives. He provided an overview of Save the Lake and what projects have previously been funded. Additionally, he provided an update to the following fundraising initiatives:

- Theater on the Lake was not possible this year due to timing logistics.
- Discount fuel sticker initiative is progressing. This year will provide for the displaying a sticker with the Save the Lake logo on the fuel pumps to build awareness of the LMCD's collaboration efforts with the companies providing gas dock service (with plans progressing through the winter months to kick off the sale of window decals offering gas discounts by participating stakeholders that have matching stickers).
- The aerial photography initiative provides for the ability to purchase an aerial print via a contribution to the Save the Lake Fund. Two of the framed prints were on display at this meeting, in which he stated similar sets will be placed at three commercial facilities for advertisement purposes. He reviewed how the print name corresponds to a specific number for simplicity in ordering. Lastly, he stated that all photographs will be delivered to Gallery Navarre for pickup.
- A fundraising dinner is currently being discussed for this fall, in which a committee meeting will be scheduled in the near future.
- A Save the Lake solicitation letter was recently mailed. This letter outlines the aerial photography campaign and respective ordering process via an enclosed self-addressed envelope or the ability to order and view the prints online. To this end, the creation of the new website was budgeted for 2011; however, the displaying and ordering process for the aerial photographs were not. As plans progressed with the solicitation letter, it became evident that there was a need to proceed in such. He provided the Board with an overview of the live aerial photography website page and ordering process. Additionally, he directed the Board to Invoice #1472, within their handout folder, from Flicker Creative in the amount of \$750 for work performed on the website layout of the photography fundraising page, in which he solicited the Board's approval to pay.

MOTION: McDermott moved, Klohs seconded to approve the payment of Invoice #1472 to Flicker Creative in the amount of \$750 from the Save the Lake Fund.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

11. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR REPORT

There was not Executive Director Report.

12. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:43 p.m.

Dan Baasen, Vice Chair

Andrew McDermott, Secretary