

**LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

7:00 P.M., April 12, 2017
Wayzata City Hall

WORK SESSION

6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

1. Save the Lake Discussion - Continued from March 22, 2017

Schleunig directed the Board to the WS1 agenda material within the packet and handout folders. She continued her discussion that originally was held at the March 22, 2017 Regular Board meeting relative to the Save the Lake Fund. She was looking to have a deeper discussion on whether to proceed with the May solicitation letter, the advantages offered with Save the Lake, and its respective budget.

Thomas believed: 1) questions remained as to the roll of the Save the Lake Committee and the decision to not issue grants, 2) that the committee should continue (recognizing that the task of the committee will change from time to time), and 3) that the solicitation letter should be sent out.

Baasen believed that there was some confusion amongst the Board as to whether Save the Lake was costing the LMCD money by utilizing staff and whether donated funds should pay for the recodification. He also reminded the Board that the Save the Lake Committee was an advisory committee with no authority to act. He believed the advisory committee should continue and that the Board should not wait until July or August to send out solicitation letter as it would be too late.

It was the consensus of the Board to direct staff to send the spring solicitation letter out in May.

Gilchrist directed the Board to his memo, dated 3/22/17. He provided a review of the second paragraph on the first page, under "Receiving Donations;" offering that although the LMCD is not subject to all the legal requirements applicable to cities, towns, etc., the LMCD does follow laws that are generally applicable to cities, such as the open meeting law, contracting law, and the data practices act. He expounded on the need to accept donations received by a resolution adopted by a two-thirds majority of the Board. He confirmed the resolution does not need to be published on the website and that if the committee were to continue, it should do so as a formal committee and not as an informal work group.

2. Review of draft Social Media Policy revisions - Rescheduled from March 22, 2017

The Board reviewed the draft Social Media Policy provided in the packet. Gilchrist fielded a number of questions and responded as follows:

- He believed that the right of LMCD staff to remove posted comments that are deemed abusive, obscene, defamatory, in violation of the law or otherwise inappropriate was not a violation of Freedom of Speech.
- He thought that the Board should be considered separate from staff and that the Board should not be required to sign off as being in receipt of the policy.
- Staff was directed to bring the Policy back to the Board for formal action as provided.

3. Recodification Workgroup Update (Standing Item)

Gilchrist stated that the work group had a conference call this date. They continue to work within the "Residential" section of the code, as well as starting to work on the "Municipal" section. He stated that many of the current ordinances are written for specific reasons and that the work group cannot research each one. Therefore, a decision has been made to boil some of the ordinances down to simplify its use.

Klohs arrived at 6:59 p.m.

FORMAL BOARD AGENDA

7:00 p.m. to Adjournment

1. CALL TO ORDER

Acting Chair Thomas called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

Members present: Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Fred Meyer, Woodland; Mike Molitor, Minnetrista; and Sue Shuff, Minnetonka; Also present: Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; Emily Herman, Administrative Assistant; and Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel.

Members absent: Bill Cook, Greenwood; Jay Green, Mound; Chris Jewett, Deephaven; Gregg Prest, Excelsior; and, Deborah Zorn, Shorewood. The City of Orono did not have representation present.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Baasen moved, Shuff seconded to approve the agenda.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

5. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Administer Oath of Office to Mike Molitor – New LMCD Board Member (City of Minnetrista)
- Administer Oath of Office to Fred Meyer (City of Woodland)

Acting Chair Thomas advised he will be filling in for Chair Green who is absent.

Gilchrist administered the Oath of Office to Fred Meyer and Mike Molitor who was seated as a representative for the City of Woodland and Minnetrista, respectively.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- 3/22/17 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

MOTION: Shuff moved, Baasen seconded to approve the 03/22/17 LMCD Regular Board Meeting minutes as presented.

VOTE: Ayes (6), Abstained (2, Meyer and Molitor); motion carried.

7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Hughes moved, Meyer seconded to approve the consent agenda. Items so approved included: **7A)** Audit of Vouchers (4/1/17 – 4/15/17) and **7B)** February Finance Summary and Balance Sheet

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Persons in attendance, subjects not on the agenda (limited to 5 minutes)

There were no public comments.

9. PUBLIC HEARING

A) The Cove New Multiple Dock License

Herman directed Board to the staff memo, dated 4/12/17 and provided the following information by a PowerPoint presentation:

- An overview of the action presented to the Board; directing the attorney to draft Findings of Fact and Order for approval or denial of The Cove 2017 Multiple Dock License for consideration at the April 26th meeting. This action would also take into consideration staff recommendations and/or any additional conditions imposed by the Board.
- A detailed overview of the currently approved license. The site runs north to south along Woodland Cove Parkway and is within the City of Minnetrista (Halstead Bay). It is a conforming site with 117 BSUs and 8,816 feet of 929.4 of continuous shoreline (1:75 density).
- An overview of the 2017 Multiple Dock License request that includes Outlot A, Outlot J, Lots 2-11 Block 3, Outlot X, Outlot Y. An overview on the background of this application and the existing site plan. The proposed reconfiguration will reallocate two BSUs from the peninsula to the channel (BSUs 29 and 30 will become BSUs 53 and 68), reduce the peninsula lots from ten to seven, and amend the currently approved restriction that watercraft cannot be longer than the length of the slip to a length of four feet past the end of the slip.
- An overview of the considerations for this request include: 1) length variances within the peninsula and south shore and 2) length overall versus four-foot length restriction. The latter would provide for an amendment to Condition No. 7 of the Order of which an overview was provided.
- Public hearing requirements and legal notification.
- The LMCD solicited review and comments from local government agencies. No comments were

received. One verbal comment was received from the public that questioned the placement of any BSUs within environmentally sensitive areas, as well as increased density. After further review, there were no additional concerns.

- An overview of LMCD Code sections include: 1) Variance (Section 1.07); 2) Authorized DUA (Section 2.01); and 3) Multiple Dock License Review Criteria and New License Required (Section 2.03, Subd. 3 and Subd. 7).
- An overview of the proposed changes to the Findings of Fact and Order that was provided as an amended handout.
- At the request of Thomas, Herman provided additional explanation on Condition No. 13. The specified BSUs can only be allocated to specified single family residential lots. Thirty-six BSUs must be specified in pairs and three BSUs as one triple set for use.
- Questions to be considered by the Board include the change in the peninsula to allow three to four watercraft, proposed amendment to Condition No. 13 that would allow adjacent lots to allocate slips to each other at the location, confirmation of staff recommendation to file the variance with County Recorder's Office and document the approved buoy diagram within the Findings.
- Confirmation that the applicant added the total shoreline to the site plan and renumbered the BSUs as recommended in the staff memo. Staff rescinded the original recommendation to add extended lot lines and respective measurements to the seven proposed lots within the peninsula since the site was considered one license and the shaded grey areas already offered side setbacks and dock length.
- Staff recommended the Board direct legal counsel to draft Findings of Fact and Order for approval subject to: 1) filing variances for the peninsula sites with County Recorder's office; 2) documenting the approved buoy diagram within the Findings; and 3) Continue recommendation to update table to site plan.
- Herman entertained questions and comments from the Board.

Baasen inquired if a homeowner does not want a pair of BSUs and it reallocated a BSU to an adjacent lot, what would happen to the reallocated BSU if the lot is sold to someone who only wants two. Herman responded the current order allows for the licensee to let the LMCD know annually, up to 20 changes, what BSUs belong to each home. The slips are not owned, but are assigned through the HOA.

Shuff requested further clarification as to the allocation of the BSUs. Herman provided an overview of the BSUs' allocation within each of the four shoreline areas as follows: 1) each of the four BSUs within the seven peninsula lots are tied to their respective site, 2) within the channel, pairs are allocated for BSUs 29-67, with one set having a trio dock, and 3) BSUs 68-110 are tied to other specific lots within the association.

Paul Robinson, representative for The Cove, provided the following information by a PowerPoint presentation:

- An overview of The Cove development that includes 8,816 feet of shoreline.
- The final plan includes 117 BSUs and provides reasonable access and protection to the shoreline.
- An overview of the variance and four-foot length restriction requests. He believed there was enough room for each of the slips and for people to get in and out of such. Additionally, no transient slips

are being proposed.

- An overview of the characteristics of the channel and channel navigation.
- The allocation of slips to adjacent homeowners is being requested for the channel lots that are in pairs and/or the trio set. If residents decide to allocate a slip to their neighbor, they can create the paperwork to show this and the HOA will follow that allocation. If the home with the additional slip is sold, they will have to let the HOA know the slip had been allocated to the home, otherwise it will be up to the HOA to decide how to allocate it.

Thomas opened the public hearing at 7:43 p.m. No additional comments were offered and the public hearing was closed at 7:44 p.m.

Molitor inquired about the precedent of the four-foot overhang and if it will create opportunities for other applicants to make the same request. Herman responded that if a site was non-conforming and reconfigured, prior ordinances would have required a four-foot overhang restriction. This site, however, is a conforming site that was given a four-foot length restriction as a condition of the original license (self-imposed by the applicant at that time). She further explained that the Board has the ability to impose additional conditions and has, in other cases, imposed four-foot length restrictions for other conforming sites depending on the circumstances.

Thomas inquired if a precedent is being created that will affect them in the future with other applicants. Herman responded it is a conforming site and a condition needs to be based on the review criteria.

Baasen thanked the people at The Cove for their work and research regarding the four-foot extension and that he supports the request.

MOTION: Baasen moved, Shuff seconded to direct the LMCD Attorney to draft Findings of Fact and Order approving The Cove HOA 2017 Multiple Dock License subject to: 1) filing the peninsula variances within the County Recorder's Office, 2) documenting the approved buoy diagram within the Findings, 3) adding the total 929.4 foot shoreline to the approved site plan, 4) the renumbering of BSUs per staff recommendation, 5) the updating of the BSU table to the approved site plan, and 6) adjacent lot owners having the ability to allocate BSUs specified in pairs and the trio set to adjacent owners.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

10. OTHER BUSINESS

A) Review and approval of 2016 LMCD Audit – Abdo, Eick, & Meyers, LLP-(Hard Copy & Digital)

Steve McDonald, from Abdo, Eick & Meyers, LLP, reported on the completed 2016 audit and provided the following information by a PowerPoint presentation:

- Audit result included:

- An unmodified opinion on financial statements.
- No legal compliance issues.
- Implemented requirements of GASB 72, 73, and 79 with no significant change.
- One significant deficiency in internal control regarding the preparation of financial statements.
- Estimates used for pension liability and depreciation.
- No other disagreements or difficulties.
- Total fund balance decreased \$52,878 to \$109,894 for 2016. Revenue was under budget by about \$11,000 and expenditures incurred were less than the budgeted amount by \$23,054. This deficiency is mainly due to other current expenses that were \$66,449 under what was budgeted offset by Personnel Services expenditures being over budget by \$50,543.
- At the end of 2016, the fund balance is 26% of the 2017 budget. There is a target fund balance of 30 to 50% and it is important to recognize it is dropping below the policy target and should be considered for the 2018 budget. This reflects slim reserves of about three months of expenditures.
- Invasive Species Fund: Reflects a decrease of about \$20,000 than the prior year and the fund balance is about \$120,000. The fund balance reflects 106% of the 2017 budget.
- Save the Lake Fund: Reflects a decrease of about \$20,000 with a fund balance of about \$171,000. Most of the revenue is through contributions and donations.
- Equipment Replacement Fund: The fund balance will be about \$103,000 at the end of 2017. The major purchases include a harvester in 2012 and a boat lift in 2014.

Thomas thanked staff for taking good care of the finances. Thomas summarized the General Fund is lower than previous years, but AIS is generous. McDonald stated both funds have different purposes, but from a cash flow perspective the overall balance is considered. It is important to not have additional years of decreases with the general fund.

MOTION: Hoelscher moved, Hughes seconded to accept the 2016 LMCD Audit prepared by Abdo, Eick, & Meyers, LLP.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

B) LMCD 2018 Preliminary Budget

Thomas advised the budget was prepared by the budget work group that included Jewett, Green, and Hughes.

Schleuning thanked the budget work group for their time and work on the budget. She directed the Board to the staff memo dated 04/12/17, and provided the following information by a PowerPoint presentation:

- The LMCD is required by State law to provide a budget to each City by July 1 of each year.
- An overview of the budget process and timeline. On June 1, the budget will be reviewed and discussed with LMCD member Cities. On June 14, the budget will be reviewed and approved by the LMCD Board. On June 30, the adopted 2018 LMCD budget will be received by member Cities.
- An overview of the charts included in the meeting packet.

- Highlights of the All Funds Summary 2014 to 2018 include:
 - Personnel increases are for part-time administrative support and Eurasian Water Milfoil coordinator in lieu of the vacant full-time position. A 15% increase is budgeted instead of a 25% increase.
 - Professional services increase of \$15,000 for initiatives to create public education materials and for technology and systems to achieve operational efficiencies and align with the strategic plan.
 - Revenue decrease in license fees of \$7,000 and in donations of about \$7,000. This reduction in donations is based on historical value of donations received over the past few years.
 - Increase of \$16,000 for the levy, which reflects a 5% increase overall, and will bring it back to a 2015 level.
 - Reduction in fines revenue based on historical decrease in fines and citation received versus prosecution costs.
 - Anticipated decrease in grants of about \$14,000 in 2018, most of which is related to AIS.
 - The contingency amount is based on the decrease in the levy, decrease in the grants, and other administrative items. The 2018 budget includes a \$57,691 use of the contingency.
 - The equipment replacement fund is budgeted at \$15,000.
 - Grants provided to some of the agencies have been allocated and the Board will have to further look at how to fund both internally and externally.

Hughes commented the increase from 2015 does not include inflation and is strictly a dollar figure.

Schleuning inquired how the Board would like to proceed with the preliminary budget.

Thomas inquired about the decrease in contingency in the expenditure column. Schleuning commented it is a problem in the Excel spreadsheet and she needed to use a negative number. \$57,691 is needed and will come out of reserves if costs are not reduced and revenues are not increased. Molitor explained it would be helpful to show the budgeted amount and let the bottom line not be equal so that a deficiency or surplus could be seen.

Schleuning commented they are also looking into equipment replacement costs and how to include them.

Molitor inquired about the General fund reserve balance. Schleuning responded there was \$109,000 in the General fund, \$170,000 in Save the Lake fund, and \$119,000 in AIS. Molitor pointed out if they pass the budget as it stands, the balance of the General fund reserve will drop in half and the auditor pointed out the remaining balance is already dangerously low. Schleuning stated all the funds are part of the same reserve.

Baasen inquired why Personnel Services and Contractual Services account for an increase of almost \$74,000. Schleuning responded based on last year, a higher amount was put into Contractual Services and was decreased because they are not hiring the full-time position. Also, a lot was put into Professional Services and that was part of a different section.

Baasen stated there was a big expense with a retiring director and interim director overlapping. Schleuning

commented in 2017 funds were put into Personnel Services so work could be contracted out while analyzing the organizational and Lake needs.

Hoelscher referred to the levy chart, and inquired if the total levy number is the same as Intergovernmental revenues and why the numbers are not the same. Schleuning responded QuickBooks is accounting software, not financial software, and it does not always allow things to be pulled out exactly. Sometimes there is interest that is unaccounted for, and some account codes have been combined over the years, but the numbers are basically the same. The formulas and rounding also make a difference in the exact number, but that can be changed.

Thomas advised the numbers need to be cleaned up before it is forwarded to the LMCD member Cities for review.

Schleuning stated the levy for each member City is based on the total taxable market value for 2017 based on 2016 assessments. Minnetonka is maxed out at 20% and the market values have changed in many of the Cities.

Hoelscher inquired if a higher levy was considered. Schleuning stated the budget work group told the Cities there would be a 6.2% increase in 2017 and another increase to get back to the amounts of 2015.

Schleuning stated the work group recommended this budget as presented. Thomas stated the members of the group are very knowledgeable on each item in the budget and have spent a lot of time on it. Hughes commented Schleuning has done a lot of work and has revamped the process of record keeping.

Schleuning advised the budget needs to be approved and provided to the Cities so they have time to discuss it as well.

Baasen stated there is a limit as to how high they could raise the levy, but they are well under that amount. He inquired how they came up with a 5% increase in the levy. Hughes responded their goal was to match the dollar figures from 2015.

Baasen stated there was a budget reduction in 2015 and the levy was cut back around 10%. The reserves are now below the guidelines and they should be looking more carefully on what they ask for the levy. Hughes stated in 2019 they will probably have another increase.

Molitor requested a brief history of the levy agreement in 2015. Thomas stated the levy was reduced by 10% by Board vote. They then lost an Executive Director and had expenses for an interim Director and recruiting a new Executive Director. Hoelscher added the reserves were bigger at that time and they were trying to be more economically responsible. The budget is good, but the 5% increase seems arbitrary.

Hoelscher commented they need to get to a point where they can move forward and meet realistic obligations in 2018. Thomas stated this budget includes additional personnel and professional services that were not included

previously. If it is approved and they are unable to find additional cost savings, they will have to decide if additional personnel can be hired. Hughes suggested the Board recommend an increase of \$25,000 to the budget and leave it up to the budget work group to work it out.

Baasen commented the Board must think about sustainability. If the LMCD is facing low reserves and a deficit for 2018, the Board needs to find the best way to balance the budget. This could include increasing revenues as well as looking at the expenditures. The Cities are not going to be excited about a budget that shows a further decline of reserves.

Molitor stated the Board should ask for more and spend less, but present a balanced budget without a contingency. He is uncomfortable presenting a budget to his City that will dip into the reserve again when the auditor just said they need to increase their reserves.

Thomas inquired what a reasonable increase to the levy would be. Shuff stated her City is not going to be happy with much more than a 5% increase, and expenditures do also need to be looked at.

Molitor stated the Board should not present double digits on an increase. Hoelscher stated last year the increase was 6.3%. Schleuning commented the budget projection represents what has occurred historically and potential impacts for 2018.

Klohs inquired whether the LMCD should be in the inspection business since some of the funding sources have been eliminated. Schleuning commented they are still getting a grant to pay for half of the \$32,000 for inspections. Other agencies are pulling out of inspections and this creates more of a demand and possible consequences for the Lake.

Klohs made a motion to accept the budget as presented. Motion failed for lack of a second.

Hoelscher commented she appreciates the work that has gone into the budget, but is uncomfortable with the mismatched numbers, and is leery of picking a number and making the budget meet that number. She suggested the Board see if there is any way to get closer to making it a balanced budget. This could include cutting expenditures and slightly increasing the levy.

Schleuning stated they could look at it again at the next meeting, but they risk not allowing enough time for Cities to have time to look it over at a Council meeting.

Hughes stated there is a lot of frustration with technology in office and money needs to be spent in order to make more efficient work. He requested direction from the Board as to what changes they want to see in the budget.

The Board provided the following direction to the budget work group regarding the proposed budget: 1) The numbers need to match up between the different spreadsheets. 2) There cannot be a negative expenditure listed in the contingency. It needs to be zero or a positive number. 3) They need to show how to increase the

levy or other revenues, or decrease expenses.

Molitor suggested the committee look at increasing the levy 6-7%, aim for a \$20,000 to \$25,000 deficit, and consider making major changes like eliminating the inspection program.

At the request of Shuff, agenda item No. 10(D) was moved ahead of item No. 10(C).

D) Status of Funding Requests

1) AIS Funding Request – Libbs Lake Property Owners Association

Shuff reported Libbs Lake is a small body of water on the eastern side of the lake and is part of the City of Minnetonka. The LMCD harvester cannot make it through channel and in the past, the LMCD has contributed to the Libbs Lake Association to pay for the smaller harvester to access the channel. Last year, the LMCD contributed \$2,500. If approved, it would not be enough to bring in the small harvester, but it could clean out the area at the end of the channel and around the beach using divers who manually pull out the aquatic invasive species.

Tony Nickolay, Libbs Lake resident, inquired why the funding cannot be used towards herbicide treatment instead of mechanical treatment. Shuff responded through Save the Lake funds a study was conducted regarding use of herbicide treatments and the results were inconclusive. The Board then decided herbicide treatment would not be done on the lake, and that includes Libbs Lake.

Nickolay stated he read the report on the study and it was confusing and inconclusive. He inquired if herbicide treatment would be considered by the Board instead of mechanical treatment. Thomas stated they are not prepared to discuss the topic.

Nickolay stated Libbs Lake is a great area and used by many in the community.

Shuff inquired if his request was only for money to go toward an herbicide treatment. Nickolay stated the LMCD funding would be used toward divers to manually pull out the invasive species.

Shuff commented a Lake Improvement District was not a good fit for the Association. It is formal and they are not big enough to sustain it.

Hoelscher inquired if the grant would come out of the AIS budget. Baasen confirmed it did come out of the AIS budget last year. Schleuning stated all the funds are combined into one.

Meyer inquired about the effectiveness of the herbicide treatment that was done last year. Nickolay stated it was very successful.

Nickolay stated they will accept whatever the LMCD is able to give. The divers would be able to pull weeds

around the channel and beach.

MOTION: Shuff moved, Hoelscher seconded to approve the Libbs Lake Association's funding request in the amount of \$2,500 from LMCD funds to provide mechanical types of AIS management activities for Lake Minnetonka.

Meyer inquired why it is restricted to mechanical treatment since it is an ideal location for herbicides. Shuff explained there is a LMCD policy that restricts herbicides. Thomas said he is open to discussing allowing herbicide treatment at a later date, but they are not prepared to have the discussion at this meeting.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

2) Hennepin County Sheriff's Office Water Patrol Funding Request

Schleuning stated the LMCD provided \$29,000 to the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office in 2016 and \$33,264 in 2015. This provided for additional water patrol.

Thomas reminded the Board the previous budget discussion was for the 2018 budget and this request is for 2017. The Sheriff's office has requested \$50,000 and if the Board approves less, they will reduce the number of hours for the additional water patrol.

Major Jeff Storms, Hennepin County Sheriff's Office, thanked the LMCD Board for their partnership. The money has allowed the Sheriff's office to provide additional support on Lake Minnetonka and makes the lake safer.

Shuff inquired about the increase in pay per hour per deputy for 2017. Storms stated the union contract reflected a 3.5% increase from last year.

Shuff commented the City of Minnetonka does not support paying for deputy services out of City levy funds. She requested the motion specifically state the money is coming out of Save the Lake funds, understanding it is all part of LMCD funds.

Baasen commented when Save the Lake started this funding, it was allocated to ensure coverage through a contract with the Sheriff's office. Storms explained if there is a water emergency on another lake that required a lot of resources, this contract allows them to keep at least one deputy on Lake Minnetonka.

MOTION: Baasen moved, Shuff seconded to approve the Hennepin County Sheriff's Office funding request in the amount of \$30,000 from LMCD Save the Lake funds to provide for dedicated patrol services to Lake Minnetonka during peak boating times and direct staff to prepare an agreement for these law enforcement and boater safety services for approval at an upcoming meeting.

VOTE: Motion carried unanimously.

Klohs left at 9:10 p.m.

C) Program Status and Operations

- 1) LMCD 2017 Harvesting Program Update
 - a. Agreements for trucking and mechanic services (Curfman Trucking and Repair)
 - Truck Hauling – Eurasian Watermilfoil (EWM) and other lake vegetation; and
 - EWM Fleet Mechanic Services Agreement
 - b. Board Approval to hire Site Supervisor (Tom Elmer)

Thomas reported that Green has worked hard on the agreements for trucking and mechanical services and is comfortable with what is being offered on the agenda tonight.

Schleunig commented approval of this item would allow them to hire staff and perform truck maintenance. It is very similar to what was completed last year. The LMCD has obtained permits from the MN DNR, a \$4,999 grant has been received from the MN DNR, and the program was approved as part of the 2017 budget.

MOTION: Thomas moved, Molitor seconded to approve the Agreements for trucking and mechanical services with Curfman Trucking and Repair, the hiring of Site Supervisor Tom Elmer, a site coordinator, and four temporary employees to operate the harvesters.

VOTE: Ayes (6), Abstained (1, Shuff); motion carried.
(Shuff abstained due to a potential conflict of interest)

- 2) Status and potential sale of boat lift (*This item will be discussed at a future meeting*)

E) Discussion regarding an ordinance amending LMCD Code Section 5.30 regarding alcoholic beverages and underage drinking (social hosting) (*This item will be discussed at a future meeting*)

11. UPDATE FROM STANDING LMCD COMMITTEES

Aquatic Invasive Species Committee/Taskforce: None.

Budget Workgroup: None.

Recodification Workgroup: None.

Save the Lake Committee: Baasen announced there will be a Boater Safety class on June 19th. Thomas

commented if Board members are available, they can help with the class.

Strategic Plan Subcommittee: Schleuning advised there will be a final draft for review presented in a couple of weeks.

12. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATE

Schleuning announced there will be sailboat racing events throughout the summer held by the Minnetonka Yacht Club on the lower lake.

Schleuning stated the City of Mound received a grant for AIS LED signage that will be put out at Surfside Park. They offered an opportunity for the LMCD to participate in providing messages on the signs.

Schleuning advised she will be out of the office Thursday, April 13 through Tuesday, April 18.

13. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business

14. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business.

15. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:47 p.m.

James Jay Green, Chair

Ann Hoelscher, Secretary