

## LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5341 MAYWOOD ROAD, SUITE 200 • MOUND, MINNESOTA 55364 • TELEPHONE 952/745-0789 • FAX 952/745-9085

#### LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Wednesday, February 13, 2019 Wayzata City Hall 600 Rice Street, Wayzata, MN 55391

## WORK SESSION 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

The purpose of the Work Session is to allow staff to seek input from the Board and for the Board to discuss matters in greater detail than generally available at the formal Board Session. The Board may give staff direction or express a preference, but does not formally vote on matters during Work Sessions. While all meetings of the Board are open to the public, Work Session discussions are generally limited to the Board, staff, and designated representatives. Work Sessions are not videotaped.

- 1. Board Self- Evaluation Review
- 2. Request for Proposal (RFP) Vegetation & AIS Master Plan Feedback

## FORMAL BOARD AGENDA 7:00 p.m. to Adjournment

The purpose of the Formal Session is to allow the Board to conduct public hearings and to consider and take formal action on matters coming before the LMCD.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- 5. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS, Acting Chair Gregg Thomas
  - **A)** Oath of Office to Jake Walesch (City of Deephaven)
  - **B**) Oath of Office to Dennis Klohs (City of Village of Minnetonka Beach)
  - C) Oath of Office to Mark Kroll (City of Orono)
  - **D**) Oath of Office to Gary Hughes (City of Spring Park)
  - E) Oath of Office to Chris Rich (City of Woodland)
  - **F**) Oath of Office to Gregg Thomas (City of Tonka Bay)
- **6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES** 01/23/2019 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

#### 7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

- **A)** Audit of Vouchers (02/01/2019 02/15/2019)
- B) December Financial Summary and Balance Sheet
- **8. PUBLIC COMMENTS** Persons in attendance for subjects not on the agenda (**limited to 5 minutes**) Audience members may provide information to the Board. The Board generally will not engage in public discussion or take action on items not on the agenda. The Board may ask for clarifications or direct staff to report back on items at future meetings.

#### 9. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A) None

#### 10. OTHER BUSINESS

A) Nomination and Election of 2019 LMCD Board Officers

#### 11. OLD BUSINESS

- A) Vegetation & AIS Master Plan Update
- B) Board Self-Evaluation Update

#### 12. NEW BUSINESS

A) None

#### 13. TREASURER REPORT

#### 14. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATE

#### 15. STANDING LMCD COMMITTEE / WORKGROUP UPDATE

- Aquatic Invasive Species Taskforce
- Budget Workgroup
- Nominating Committee
- Recodification Workgroup
- Save the Lake Committee
- Strategic Plan Subcommittee

#### 16. ADJOURNMENT

#### **Future Items – Tentative**

- Strategic Plan February 2019 Work Session
- Prioritization of Activities Calendar February 2019
- Lake Use Vision and Policy Discussion Continuing Series-TBD
  - o Fuel Service February 2019
  - Lake Sales Discussion

# CONSERVATION DISTRE

## **WORK SESSION ITEM 1**

### LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5341 MAYWOOD ROAD, SUITE 200 • MOUND, MINNESOTA 55364 • TELEPHONE 952/745-0789 • FAX 952/745-9085

**DATE:** February 13, 2019

**TO:** LMCD Board of Directors

FROM: Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director

**SUBJECT:** Board Self-Evaluation Review

#### **ACTION**

Board discussion and review of the completed self-evaluation.

#### **BACKGROUND**

As part of the LMCD 2017/2018 Strategic Plan, the Board identified the development of a self-evaluation process as a strategic initiative. Therefore, a self-evaluation form was provided to the Board on January 9, 2019. The Board set a deadline for completing the Board self-evaluation by January 23, 2019.

The Board self-evaluation process and sample forms were discussed by the Board at a Work Session on September 26, 2018. Director Deborah Zorn and staff developed a draft self-evaluation tool for the whole Board to review at this meeting. A final draft was provided for review on December 1, 2018. The self-evaluation form was finalized based on comments and made available for the Board after the January 9, 2019 LMCD Board meeting.

#### **CONSIDERATIONS**

- What do the results indicate about the Board's evaluation of its own alignment with the mission of the LMCD?
- How can the results help to guide the operations and effectiveness of the overall Board, individual Board members, and organization?

#### LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

7:00 P.M., January 23, 2019 Wayzata City Hall

# WORK SESSION 6:10 p.m. to 6:54 p.m.

**Members present**: Bill Cook, Greenwood; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Ben Brandt, Mound; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Chris Rich, Woodland; Sue Shuff, Minnetonka.

Also present: Steve Tallen, LMCD Prosecuting Attorney; Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; Matthew Cook, Environmental Administrative Technician.

**Members absent:** Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Mike Molitor, Minnetrista; Jake Walesch, Deephaven; and, Deborah Zorn, Shorewood.

Also: Sheriff David Hutchinson, Hennepin County Sheriff's Office (HCSO); Lieutenant Bob Staupe, HCSO; Lieutenant Kent Vnuk, HCSO; Rich Anderson, North Shore Marina; and, Eric Evenson, Lake Minnetonka Association (LMA).

#### 1. Introduction of Sheriff David Hutchinson

Hoelscher thanked Sheriff Hutchinson, Lt. Staupe, and Lt. Vnuk for meeting with the LMCD Board of Directors.

Hutchinson stated that the assignment of a deputy to Lake Minnetonka – typically funded by a grant from the LMCD's Save the Lake fund – is an important factor in maintaining the safety of the lake during the summer, both through the deputy's enforcement and education activities. He said that there may be a possibility to not only continue providing a deputy dedicated to Lake Minnetonka, as funded by the LMCD grant, but to add another deputy using other funding. Hutchinson said that the additional deputy is not a certainty, but is an opportunity his office will look into.

Hutchinson said that he looks forward to working with the LMCD as Sheriff.

Vnuk said that the LMCD Board should expect its relationship with the HCSO to be the same, if not better, in coming years.

Klohs asked if there were any plans to reduce the number of Water Patrol deputies available for service on Lake Minnetonka. He noted that there have been some rumors that there were going to be cuts.

Hutchinson stated that there will be no reduction in the number of deputies on Lake Minnetonka.

Klohs suggested Sheriff Hutchinson consider making a public statement that there will be no cuts to Water Patrol to dispel the aforementioned rumors.

Hutchinson said that could easily be done.

Baasen noted that the HCSO should send in its request for funds as early as possible to help the LMCD plan effectively for how it may use its Save the Lake contributions. He asked how much the HCSO would need to fully fund a deputy for Lake Minnetonka for the duration of a summer.

Vnuk replied that \$35,000 would cover a deputy for the summer.

Tallen asked how many special deputies would be patrolling for the HCSO for the upcoming season.

Vnuk stated that he expects the number of special deputies for Water Patrol to remain the same or increase. He noted that the time commitment is significant, which may be discouraging some from signing up to be a special deputy.

Klohs asked if the deputies patrolling the lake could perform AIS early detection monitoring activities.

Vnuk noted that while deputies report unfamiliar AIS they notice, it's not an explicit duty of the position.

Tallen stated his appreciation for the HCSO's past work with his office and the LMCD Board of Directors to develop ordinances to address safety issues on the lake. He explained that the Social Host ordinance appears to have helped greatly to decrease underage drinking on the lake.

Hoelscher noted that the education efforts undertaken by the HCSO and LMCD prior to July 4<sup>th</sup> last year helped to reduce incidences of boating while intoxicated.

Schleuning provided a brief overview of the LMCD for Sheriff Hutchinson, noting that the LMCD has a variety of stakeholders, many with competing demands of the organization, and an ever-changing Lake Minnetonka. She explained that to face these challenges, the LMCD has sought to streamline its processes, coordinate its messaging with its partners, and adopt a proactive approach to lake management.

Hoelscher asked if LMCD Board Directors were still welcome to join Water Patrol for a ride-along.

Vnuk confirmed that LMCD Board Directors are welcome to arrange a ride-along with Water Patrol. He underscored that busy times – weekends – are the best time for a ride-along.

Gilchrist stated that he has enjoyed working with the HCSO, Mr. Tallen, and the LMCD Board to develop Code language to address public safety concerns. He invited the HCSO to continue providing suggestions regarding code language.

Rich stated that some years ago, there were some drowning deaths on Lake Minnetonka. He asked if there was any fencing or signage that the Water Patrol could put up to prevent people from crossing channels in winter.

Vnuk noted that while the HCSO can declare an emergency and block off public accesses to the lake, they cannot prevent people from physically accessing the lake. He noted that fencing often becomes a hazard, as snowmobilers often end up driving over fences.

Page 3

Vnuk stated that the Water Patrol does post "Thin Ice" signs on all the channel bridges.

Schleuning added that educating the public regarding the costs of extracting a vehicle that has broken through the lake ice may help discourage some people from driving on the lake in dangerous areas.

Walesch entered at this time.

Hughes asked if any more of the digital signs could be installed around the lake.

Vnuk noted that the digital signs are costly, and that Hennepin County provided most of the funds for the signs through a grant.

There being no more business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 6:54 p.m.

## REGULAR SESSION 7:00pm

#### 1. CALL TO ORDER

Acting Chair Hoelscher called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

#### 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

#### 3. ROLL CALL

**Members present**: Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Bill Cook, Greenwood; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Ben Brandt, Mound; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Andrew Punch, Excelsior; Chris Rich, Woodland; Sue Shuff, Minnetonka; and Jake Walesch, Deephaven. Also present: Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; and, Matt Cook, Environmental Administrative Technician.

**Members absent:** Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Mark Kroll, Orono; Mike Molitor, Minnetrista; and Deborah Zorn, Shorewood.

#### 4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

**MOTION:** Punch moved, Rich seconded to approve the agenda as submitted.

**VOTE:** Motion carried unanimously.

#### 5. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS

Acting Chair Hoelscher stated that Sheriff Hutchinson attended the work session meeting prior to tonight's regular meeting and she is excited that the Water Patrol partnership will continue this year and staffing levels will remain the same.

Page 4

#### 6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- 01/23/2019 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

**MOTION:** Cook moved, Klohs seconded to approve the 01/23/2019 LMCD Regular Board Meeting minutes

as submitted.

**VOTE:** Ayes (8), Abstained (Shuff and Walesch). Motion carried.

#### 7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

**MOTION:** Walesch moved, Hughes seconded to approve the consent agenda as presented. Items so approved

included: 7A) Audit of Vouchers (01/16/2019 – 01/31/2019); 7B) Acceptance of LMCD 2018 Watercraft

Inspection Program Report.

**VOTE:** Motion carried unanimously.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Persons in attendance, subjects not on the agenda (limited to 5 minutes)

There were no public comments.

#### 9. PUBLIC HEARING

There were no public hearings.

#### **10. OTHER BUSINESS**

There was no other business.

#### 11. OLD BUSINESS

#### A) Vegetation and AIS Master Plan Updates

Schleuning stated that a few draft versions of the RFP were included in the packet. She stated that the purpose of the master plan is to look at the entire lake for AIS management options. She noted that the consultant would assist in developing that master plan as well as a plan for implementation.

Cook referred to the tentative timeline that was developed for this process. He advised that a final document will come back before the Board prior to publishing. He acknowledged that this is an aggressive timeline and noted that the RFP includes a section on the proposal and selection process. He asked the Board to review these sections as approval of that process will be requested at the next meeting. He asked for permission from the Board to send this draft for additional comments from stakeholders and vendors before it is finalized.

Shuff stated that she has spoken with business people and City Council members and they all support the idea of continuing harvesting. She asked if City Council members would be included in the group of stakeholders.

Cook agreed that cities would be an important stakeholder, among others. He welcomed input on the RFP or

selection process.

Shuff stated that this draft looks great, along with the comments that have been received. She stated that it appears that some of the information has already been vetted. She stated that the parties that she has spoken with feel that the risk of not harvesting outweigh the risk of harvesting. She stated that while Minnetonka may have the smallest amount of lakeshore, they by far have the highest number of users of the lake and wants to ensure the safety of those lake users. She stated that there have been some negative comments regarding the operations of the harvesting program and thought it would be interesting for the Board members to talk to the people that are actually involved and therefore she invited the supervisor, Mr. Elmer to attend the meeting tonight.

Hoelscher stated that it would be unusual to have a member of the public provide comments during a presentation. She stated that discussing operations is off topic of tonight's discussion but noted that she would allow it if someone has a direct question for Mr. Elmer.

Shuff stated that she attempted to have an organized way to facilitate this discussion but it has been blocked thus far and that is why she is attempting this method.

Mr. Elmer introduced himself, noting that he is the Site Supervisor for the harvesting program.

Walesch asked the opinion of Mr. Elmer.

Mr. Elmer stated that he is not an expert on the program, but his general opinion is that the numbers speak for themselves. He reported that they remove 70,000 pounds of biomass out of the lake on any given day, which helps to keep the channels clear. He stated that they respond to needs and attempt to accommodate property owners and fisherman on the lake. He referenced the comment that has been made that the program is unsafe and stated that in 30 years there has not been a workers's comp claim. He stated that they are strict with the rules.

Walesch stated that there have been comments about recapturing material that is cut and asked if there is any input on that.

Mr. Elmer replied that they were working with the vendor to find out if there were additional equipment options to further capture that material. He stated that in his opinion the materials onshore are most likely not from the harvester, and would be from the speedboats on the lake.

Rich asked if there were any new techniques incorporated to address fragments.

Mr. Elmer advised of a process that was added last summer to go back through an area that was cut to pick up any additional material that was left.

Shuff stated that the comment has been made that the LMCD harvesters are harvesting along private docks.

Mr. Elmer stated that the mission of the LMCD harvesting program is not to go between docks, the purpose is navigation and not aesthetics. He stated that two years ago, they began a program where if requested by a property owner, they will park the equipment by the dock to allow the homeowner to load their material onto the equipment for disposal. He estimated that perhaps 24 to 36 residents took advantage of the addition to the

program.

Hoelscher stated that she is going to stop this discussion as she did not want Mr. Elmer to feel that he needs to defend the program. She stated that the job of the Board is to review the program as a whole and Mr. Elmer was simply doing the job he was hired to do.

Cook stated that the RFP has an element which assesses the processes and procedures of the program. He expected that at the end of the process, they will find that the process and procedures are reasonable and adequate. He stated that they are simply gaining an independent view of those elements so that the Board can defend the program and/or make necessary changes. He stated that he has worked personally with the staff on minor issues and has always found the staff to be reasonable and knowledgeable.

Walesch reviewed the motion that the Board approved at a previous meeting regarding the harvesting program and the hiring of a specialist. He asked if the elements of the draft RFP would accomplish everything in that motion.

Cook confirmed that the elements of the RFP would address those elements. He stated that the RFP would go to a number of people that would submit proposals for review and acceptance by the Board. He stated that the selection process would be the point where the proposals are reviewed to ensure the individual is qualified to accomplish the elements the Board desires.

Rich asked if there is anything in place to vet the vetter, so to speak, specifically whether the person would have a background that would support harvesting or not harvesting in order to ensure an unbiased review.

Cook stated that would be a part of the selection process.

Schleuning stated that the proposal and selection process includes the applicants providing the background information so it can be reviewed.

Walesch agreed that the point would be to obtain an opinion that is completely subjective. He thanked Cook for spearheading this process. He stated that the cities are starting to ask questions about harvesting and he does not have the sense that there is a huge opinion, simply that they want to know. He is glad that this proposal will get the Board closer to that point in order to make a decision and communicate that to the cities.

Hoelscher agreed that the plan needs to continue to move forward or nothing will happen this year. She stated that if the Board attempts to micromanage, nothing will happen. She asked that the Board try to move forward together in attempt to create something that the LMCD is proud of.

Shuff stated that she invited Mr. Elmer because the information the Board has gotten from the program has all been very negative and antidotal comments which resulted from other staff or previous years. She stated that while there have not been accidents with the staff, there has been a loss of life in the past from weed. Therefore, she is concerned that there would be a safety issue is harvesting is stopped.

Hoelscher state that the RFP does not make decisions, it simply brings someone in to help the LMCD decide on the best path to move forward.

Klohs stated that this is an aggressive schedule. He stated that this is a difficult process and wanted to ensure that all the interested parties are a part of the process to ensure support in the future. He stated that perhaps there is a main body of the RFP with add on alternatives that incorporate the comments from other agencies. He stated that a two-week turnaround to receive and integrate comments would be nearly impossible. He wanted to ensure that this was done right, rather than done fast. He stated that in past discussions, simply on the topic of chemical treatments, there were 200 people in the audience and the opinion was split 50/50.

Walesch agreed that while guick is great, it would not be good at the expense of validity.

**MOTION:** Cook moved, Walesch seconded to authorize the officers to distribute the draft RFP to interested

stakeholders and potential vendors in order to receive input from those parties.

**VOTE:** Motion carried unanimously.

Cook stated that he has seen this comment about timing before regarding input. He stated that he expects that the work in the RFP will be highly interactive with all the stakeholders. He stated that the LMCD will need to separate the requests of the RFP and obtaining a specialist from the hard work that will entail after the specialist is obtained and to prepare the Plan. He stated that the input on the RFP will allow for an interactive discussion with the stakeholders during this process. He agreed that the work included in the RFP would not be fast but believed that moving the RFP at this proposed schedule would be sufficient.

Klohs stated that the reality is that the LMCD is a budget strapped organization and would not be able to accomplish whatever is identified throughout this process. He stated that there has to be reality for the City Councils that additional funding would be needed in order to accomplish anything above what the LMCD has been doing for the past 30 years. He stated that he would be cautious of developing a program that could not be implemented. He stated that perhaps the Board should begin by asking their City Councils if they would support the LMCD requesting additional funds.

Hoelscher stated that this will be an important process and is something the lake needs at this time. She stated that there will be hurdles along the way, but this needs to move forward.

Walesch stated that the process includes identification and discussion of funding sources. He did not think it would make sense to approach member City Councils prior to having a plan. He stated that the harvesting program review is scheduled for December 31, 2019. He asked if that timeline would provide an answer to whether the harvesting will occur this year.

Cook stated that an adjustment can be made to that timeline, to include a quick timeline to determine if harvesting can occur this year.

Shuff stated that she spoke with a City Council member from another city that stated that the cost for this review was not included in the approved budget.

#### **B)** Board Self-Evaluation Update

Schleuning reminded the Board that Zorn had submitted an evaluation form which has been made available as an

Page 8

online survey. She stated that the deadline for submissions is today and asked any Board members still interested in completing the review do that tonight. She asked when the results should be presented to the Board.

Hoelscher stated that perhaps the results should be shared with the Board through email and then the Board could decide whether further discussion is necessary at a work session meeting.

#### 12. NEW BUSINESS

#### A) Executive Director Annual Performance Evaluation Process

Hoelscher stated that included in the packet is the performance review process that was used last year and worked well. She stated that the information will be sent out in an online format, similar to the Board evaluation process. She welcomed any additional comments on the process. She directed Schleuning to send the questions out in the online format. She stated that she will then compile the answers for review.

#### 13. TREASURER REPORT

Cook reported that he will report the final numbers once completed.

#### 14. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATE

Schleuning provided the following information:

- She noted the importance of education that was mentioned in the Watercraft Inspection Report. She
  stated that there were violations found and action is taken when violations are identified. Extra hours were
  included by Three Rivers Park District to meet the highest times of use and a change in behavior has been
  noticed, most likely in response to the added education and enforcement.
- She referenced the Hennepin County Public Access Report, noting that some of the launches were
  redesigned to make them more convenient. She stated that it has been found if the tools are available and
  the design is more convenient, people are more likely to be in compliance and help reduce the spread of
  AIS.
- Minneapolis Boat Show will take place January 24-27th
- Destination Imagination, which is a youth team of third to fifth graders, is doing their project on Lake Minnetonka water pollution.

#### 15. STANDING LMCD COMMITTEE/WORKGROUP

Aguatic Invasive Species Taskforce: No report.

Budget Workgroup: No report.

<u>Nominating Committee</u>: Baasen reported that the self-nomination phase of the process has been completed and interviews will then be completed with those that have self-volunteered. A recommendation for the slate of officers would then be proposed to the Board, at which time additional nominations for specific positions could be made. He stated that the people that have self-volunteered and their skills is very encouraging.

<u>Recodification Workgroup</u>: Gilchrist reported that he has reviewed the comments from the Board and has integrated those comments. He believed that he would be on track to send out the draft the first week in February for additional internal comments, which could also include the Sheriff's office.

<u>Save the Lake Committee</u>: Baasen reported that the group will meet again in March and will consider the total fundraising for 2018, review the demands for the funds, and provide additional comments and next steps that would come forward to the Board. He stated that dates for potential boater safety classes would also be developed and come back to the Board.

<u>Strategic Plan Subcommittee</u>: Schleuning reported that her plan is to come back to the Board in work session at the second meeting in February.

Shuff stated that she has not applied for reappointment and will continue to serve until a new person is appointed to the Board. She stated that she has appreciated the ability to serve and believes that this is a great group of respectful people with a diverse skill set. She stated that is has been a great experience to work with people of different backgrounds and find a common ground.

Hoelscher thanked Shuff for her contributions to the Board.

#### 16. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adju-	ourned at 7:57 p.m.
Ann Hoelscher, Acting Chair / Secretary	Bill Cook, Treasurer

8:22 AM 02/07/19

# Lake Minnetonka Conservation District Check Detail

ITEM 7A

February 1 - 15, 2019

	Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Class	Paid Amount
	02/14/2019	EFT18-182	SelectAccount Group Service Center		Alerus Checking		
				HSA Employer Contribution for F HSA Employer Contribution for F	4380M10 · Employee Benefits - Admin. 4380M10 · Employee Benefits - Admin.	Admin. Admin.	-112.50 -112.50
	TOTAL						-225.00
	02/14/2019	EFT18-183	ADP Service Fee		Alerus Checking		
				Payroll 2/15/19	4180M10 · Professional Services - Admin.	Admin.	-73.04
	TOTAL						-73.04
	02/14/2019	EFT18-184	ADP Service Fee		Alerus Checking		
				W2's	4180M10 · Professional Services - Admin.	Admin.	-114.00
	TOTAL						-114.00
	02/14/2019	EFT18-185	Unum Life Insurance		Alerus Checking		
				Long Term Disability	2020-LT · Payroll Liabilities - UNUM	Admin.	-84.78
	TOTAL						-84.78
	02/14/2019	EFT18-186	ADP		Alerus Checking		
*				Salaries - Admin P. E.R.A. ER PERA ER/FICA Medicare - Admin Long Term Disability	4020M10 · Salaries-002 - Admin 2020 · Payroll Liabilities - 4022M10 · ER PERA - Admin 4021M10 · ER Share of Admin FICA/Medi 2020-LT · Payroll Liabilities - UNUM	Admin. Admin. Admin. Admin. Admin.	-8,950.37 1,250.48 -669.90 -683.31 42.13
	TOTAL						-9,010.97
	02/14/2019	EFT18-187	P.E.R.A		Alerus Checking		
				Payroll 2/1/19 - 2/15/19	2020 · Payroll Liabilities -	Admin.	-1,250.48
	TOTAL						-1,250.48

# Lake Minnetonka Conservation District Check Detail

February 1 - 15, 2019

Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Class	Paid Amount
02/08/2019	EFT18-188	Frontier Communications		Alerus Checking		
			Phone and Internet 1/4/19 - 2/3/1	4060M10 · Telephone - Admin.	Admin.	-384.54
TOTAL						-384.54
00/44/0040	04550	A10 A 1		Alama Charlian		
02/14/2019	21556	AIS Advanced Imaging Solutions		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	Inv.#376682274		Copier 1/20/19 - 2/20/19	4140M10 · Equipment R&M - Admin.	Admin.	-255.52
TOTAL						-255.52
02/14/2019	21557	Innovative Office Solutions LLC		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	Inv.#IN2370845		Office Supplies	4220M10 · Office Supplies -Admin.	Admin.	-15.08
TOTAL						-15.08
02/14/2019	21558	Kennedy & Graven		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	December, 2018		Legal Fees, Deember 2018	4620M10 · Legal Fees - Admin.	Admin.	-1,965.60
TOTAL			Codification Project	4670M10 · Recodification	Admin.	-1,428.00
TOTAL						-3,393.60
02/14/2019	21559	LMCC		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	Inv.#1262		VOD Services for Meeting 1/23/19	4182M10 · Media (Cable/Internet) - Admin.	Admin.	-100.00
TOTAL						-100.00
02/14/2019	21560	Mark Hodges Media Productions	VOID:	Alerus Checking		
TOTAL						0.00
02/14/2019	21561	Micro Center		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	M07429880		Smart Connect for Server	4220M10 · Office Supplies -Admin.	Admin.	-463.93
02,1172010			Keyboard Pullout, Keyboard and	4530M10 · Comp. Sftwr & Hdwr - Admin.	Admin.	-284.95
TOTAL						-748.88

8:22 AM 02/07/19

# Lake Minnetonka Conservation District Check Detail

February 1 - 15, 2019

Date	Num	Name	Memo	Account	Class	Paid Amount
02/14/2019	21562	Minuteman Press		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	Inv#20281		LMCD Seal Embosser	4220M10 · Office Supplies -Admin.	Admin.	-142.96
TOTAL						-142.96
02/14/2019	21563	MN NCPERS		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	February 2019		Life Insurance, February 2019	4380M10 · Employee Benefits - Admin.	Admin.	-32.00
TOTAL						-32.00
02/14/2019	21564	Tallen & Baertschi		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	January 2019		January prosecution costs	4640M10 · Prosecution Fees - Admin.	Admin.	-2,709.43
TOTAL						-2,709.43
02/14/2019	21565	TimeSaver Off Site Secretarial, Inc.		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	Inv.#M24406		Board Meeting Minutes 1/9/19	4230M10 · Meeting Exp Admin.	Admin.	-145.00
TOTAL						-145.00
02/14/2019	21566	Mark Hodges Media Productions		Alerus Checking		
02/14/2019	20190123, 201		Meeting 1/23/19 Re-edit no video, visual slides an	4182M10 · Media (Cable/Internet) - Admin. 4182M10 · Media (Cable/Internet) - Admin.	Admin. Admin.	-80.00 -200.00
TOTAL						-280.00

5:34 PM 02/08/19 **Accrual Basis** 

## **Lake Minnetonka Conservation District** Administrative Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual January through December 2018

ITEM 7B

	Jan - Dec 18	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget
Ordinary Income/Expense				
Income				
Grants & Other Income	2 607	500	2 107	7010/
3080M10 · Interest - Admin. 3300M10 · Other Income - Admin.	3,607 401	1,500	3,107 -1,099	721% 27%
Total Grants & Other Income	4,007	2,000	2,007	200%
	1,007	2,000	2,001	20070
Licenses/Permits 3110M10 · Multiple/Perm. Dock Lic -Admin.	83,038	77,799	5,239	107%
3120M10 · DMA license - Admin.	3,400	3,350	50	101%
3130M10 · Deicing License - Admin.	6,197	6,385	-188	97%
3170M10 · Variances - Admin.	500	1,000	-500	50%
3200M10 · Special Density - Admin.	0	500	-500	0%
3240M10 · Charter Boats - Admin.	2,750	2,250	500	122%
3280M10 · Liquor/Beer/Wine License-Admin.	17,650	13,700	3,950	129%
Total Licenses/Permits	113,535	104,984	8,551	108%
3020M10 · Municipal Dues - Admin.	266,503	268,110	-1,607	99%
3260M10 · Court Fines - Admin.	47,395	35,000	12,395	135%
Total Income	431,441	410,094	21,347	105%
Gross Profit	431,441	410,094	21,347	105%
Expense				
Legal	500	4.050	050	470/
4110M10 · Public Info./Legal - Admin. 4620M10 · Legal Fees - Admin.	592 30,829	1,250 54,000	-658 -23,171	47% 57%
4640M10 · Prosecution Fees - Admin.	38,607	46,350	-7,743	83%
4650M10 · Room & Board - Admin.	0	1,030	-1,030	0%
4670M10 · Recodification	13,222	<u> </u>		
Total Legal	83,250	102,630	-19,380	81%
Office & Supplies				
4060M10 · Telephone - Admin.	2,228	2,719	-491	82%
4070M10 · Web Page / Internet - Admin.	50	1,000	-950	5% 45%
4080M10 · Postage - Admin. 4100M10 · Printing - Admin.	2,319 955	5,200 9,500	-2,881 -8,545	10%
4220M10 · Office Supplies -Admin.	1,739	4,500	-2,761	39%
4230M10 · Meeting Exp Admin.	3,537	6,000	-2,463	59%
4320M10 · Office Rent - Admin.	18,539	18,385	154	101%
4340M10 · Insurance - Admin.	2,077	7,725	-5,649	27%
4360M10 · Subs/Memberships - Admin.	1,878	1,957	-79 4 <b>7</b> 0 <b>7</b>	96%
4400M10 · Mileage/Exp's - Admin.	273 0	2,060	-1,787 -750	13% 0%
4410M10 · Training/Prof. Devel ADM 4520M10 · Furniture & Equip - Admin.	0	750 1,000	-1,000	0%
4530M10 · Comp. Sftwr & Hdwr - Admin.	4,954	3,000	1,954	165%
Total Office & Supplies	38,548	63,796	-25,248	60%
Personnel Expenses				
4020M10 · Salaries-002 - Admin	179,078	187,100	-8,022	96%
4021M10 · ER Share of Admin FICA/Medicare	14,202	14,300	-98	99%
4022M10 · ER PERA - Admin 4380M10 · Employee Benefits - Admin.	12,937 18,997	14,000 16,400	-1,063 2,597	92% 116%
• •		<u> </u>		
Total Personnel Expenses	225,214	231,800	-6,586	97%
Professional Services- ADM	0.400	0.400	040	4440/
4040M10 · Auditing - Admin. 4180M10 · Professional Services - Admin.	9,100 8,981	8,189 17,500	912 -8,519	111% 51%
4181M10 · Professional Comp. ServAdmin.	5,289	773	-6,519 4,517	685%
4182M10 · Professional Comp. ServAdmin.	3,520	4,000	-480	88%
		<u> </u>		
Total Professional Services- ADM	26,890	30,461	-3,571	88%

5:34 PM 02/08/19 **Accrual Basis** 

## **Lake Minnetonka Conservation District** Administrative Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual January through December 2018

	Jan - Dec 18	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget
4140M10 · Equipment R&M - Admin. 4980M10 · Contingency - Admin.	7,484 18	1,000 4,213	6,484 -4,195	748% 0%
Total Expense	381,404	433,900	-52,496	88%
Net Ordinary Income	50,036	-23,806	73,843	-210%
Net Income	50,036	-23,806	73,843	-210%

5:37 PM 02/08/19 **Accrual Basis** 

## **Lake Minnetonka Conservation District** AIS Management/Prevention Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual January through December 2018

Jan - Dec 18	Budget	\$ Over Budget	% of Budget
	<b></b>		
			100%
			0%
13,531	5,000	8,531	2719
87,740	79,950	7,790	110%
87,740	79,950	7,790	110%
10,000			
119			
10,119			
•	*		151%
5,490	4,500	990	122%
1,173	1,000	173	117%
8,632	12,000	-3,368	72%
1,004			
28,354	25,500	2,854	111%
	· <del></del>	• •	67%
224	300	-76	75%
240	100	140	240%
372	567	-195	66%
868	1,015	-147	86%
28,910	29,600	-690	98%
1,357	4,500	-3,143	30%
30,267	34,100	-3,833	89%
3,048	2,929	119	104%
15,938	17,000	-1,063	94%
6,131	,	,	
84,605	80,544	4,062	105%
94,724	80,544	14,181	1189
-6,984	-594	-6,390	1,176%
	-594	-6,390	1,176%
	74,209 0 13,531 87,740 87,740  10,000 119 10,119  12,054 5,490 1,173 8,632 1,004 28,354  32 224 240 372 868  28,910 1,357 30,267 3,048 15,938 6,131 84,605 94,724	74,209	74,209       74,550       -341         0       400       -400         13,531       5,000       8,531         87,740       79,950       7,790         87,740       79,950       7,790         10,000       119         10,119       10,119         12,054       8,000       4,054         5,490       4,500       990         1,173       1,000       173         8,632       12,000       -3,368         1,004       28,354       25,500       2,854         32       48       -16         224       300       -76         240       100       140         372       567       -195         868       1,015       -147         28,910       29,600       -690         1,357       4,500       -3,143         30,267       34,100       -3,833         3,048       2,929       119         15,938       17,000       -1,063         6,131       84,605       80,544       4,062         94,724       80,544       14,181

## **Lake Minnetonka Conservation District** Save the Lake Profit & Loss Budget vs. Actual January through December 2018

	Jan	Budget	\$ Over	% of B
Ordinary Income/Expense				
Income				
Contributions 3001M20 · Donations (General) - S/L 3002M20 · Donations (Photograph) - S/L	35,611 266	32,500	3,111	110%
Total Contributions	35,877	32,500	3,377	110%
3080M20 · Interest - S/L	0	600	-600	0%
Total Income	35,877	33,100	2,777	108%
Gross Profit	35,877	33,100	2,777	108%
Expense				
Office & Supplies- STL	369	1.500	-1.131	25%
4080M20 · Postage - S/L 4100M20 · Printing - S/L	918	750	168	122%
4220M20 · Office Supplies - S/L	243	800	-557	30%
Total Office & Supplies- STL	1,530	3,050	-1,520	50%
Projects & Activities- STL				
4111M20 · Public Service/Education - S/L 4160M20 · Public Safety - S/L	3,923 29,768	4,500 1,150	-577 28,618	87% 2,589%
Total Projects & Activities- STL	33,691	5,650	28,041	596%
4180M20 · ProfessionI Services - S/L 4980M20 · Contingency - S/L	32 54	·	·	
Total Expense	35,307	8,700	26,607	406%
Net Ordinary Income	571	24,400	-23,829	2%
Net Income	571	24,400	-23,829	2%



## LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT

5341 MAYWOOD ROAD, SUITE 200 • MOUND, MINNESOTA 55364 • TELEPHONE 952/745-0789 • FAX 952/745-9085

**DATE:** February 13, 2019

**TO:** LMCD Board of Directors

FROM: Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director

**SUBJECT:** Nomination and Election of 2019 LMCD Board Officers

#### **ACTION**

Board consideration of the Nominating Committee's recommendations and election of 2019 Board Officers.

The following motions are offered depending on whether the Board wishes to approve or deny the request.

#### Approval:

I make a motion to appoint Gregg Thomas as Chair, Dan Baasen as Vice Chair, Ann Hoelscher as Secretary, and Bill Cook as Treasurer <or amend as follows> for 2019.

#### Denial:

I make a motion to deny the appointment of the 2019 Officers for the following reason...

#### **BACKGROUND**

The LMCD is required by state statute to annually elect officers from the members of the Board. Further, the LMCD Bylaws indicate the Board is to elect a Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, and Treasurer annually in February. The Nominating Committee is charged with assisting in the election process by recommending a slate of officers to the Board.

On September 14, 2016, the LMCD Board of Directors approved Resolution 150: Approving and Ratifying a Nominating Process for Board Officers (attached). This process, followed at the recommendation of the LMCD legal counsel, Troy Gilchrist, was utilized in 2015, in which the Board desired to formally approve and ratify such a process for the annual selection of its officers. A document outlining the procedures is attached.

#### NOMINATING COMMITTEE

On November 14, 2018, the Board appointed Directors Baasen, Walesch, and Zorn to the Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee provides a recommendation of the slate of officers for Board consideration for Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, and Treasurer.

Appointment of Board Officers for 2019 February 13, 2019 LMCD Regular Board Meeting Page 2

#### RECOMMENDED SLATE OF OFFICERS

After soliciting candidates and conducting interviews, the Nominating Committee recommends the following slate of officers:

Chair: Gregg Thomas
Vice Chair: Dan Baasen
Secretary: Ann Hoelscher
Treasurer: Bill Cook

## **ATTACHMENTS**

- Nominating Committee Procedures
- Resolution 150 Nominating Committee

#### ATTACHMENT- RECOMMENDED NOMINATION PROCESS

#### Nominating Committee

- 1. The Committee develops a recommended slate of officers for presentation to the full Board.
- 2. The Committee develops a recommended procedure the Board may use to address any nominations at the meeting.

#### **Nomination Procedures**

- 1. The Board Chair asks the Chair of the Nominations Committee to present the recommended slate of officers.
- 2. The Chair asks the Board if there are any other nominations for any of the positions.
- a. The member making the nomination must state the name of the person being nominated and the position to which the person is being nominated.
- b. Nominations do not require a second.
- c. No member shall be nominated for more than one position.
- d. A Board member may not nominate himself or herself.
- e. A nominated member may reject the nomination by the person announcing he or she does not accept immediately after the nomination is made. A member who does not accept a nomination for a position remains eligible to be nominated for another position.
- 3. If there are no additional nominations, the Board Chair calls for a motion and a vote on the recommended slate of officers.
- 4. If there are any additional nominations made "from the floor", the positions for which there were additional nominations are pulled from the recommended slate and the Board Chair calls for a motion and a vote on the remainder of the recommended slate of officers (if any positions remain unchallenged).
- 5. The challenged officer positions must be voted on individually, which is conducted by the Board Chair identifying the officer position and announcing the name of the member recommended for the position by the Nominating Committee and the names of those nominated for the position from the floor. Each of the nominated members may be allowed up to three minutes to provide background on themselves and why they are interested in the position.
- 6. The Board Chair announces that voting will be conducted by a show of hands with each member voting only once for each officer position. The nominated members are eligible to vote. The nominee with the most votes at the end of the voting wins the position. If the vote ends in a tie between the two highest vote getters, another vote shall be conducted between just those two members (any other nominees for the position are dropped from the voting) until a winner is selected.
- 7. The Board Chair then calls for a vote for the position by calling each of the names and then asking members to raise their hand if voting for that person for the position ("All those in favor of Bill Smith for Secretary raise your hands. [count votes] All those in favor of Cindy Jones for Secretary raise your hand. [count votes]" Etc.)

#### ATTACHMENT- RECOMMENDED NOMINATION PROCESS

- 8. The person with the highest number of votes for the position is then announced as being selected for the position.
- 9. The Board Chair then moves onto to the next challenged position, announces the nominees, and proceeds with voting in the same manner until all of the positions are filled.



#### STATE OF MINNESOTA LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT

#### RESOLUTION NO. 150

#### A RESOLUTION APPROVING AND RATIFYING A NOMINATING PROCESS FOR BOARD OFFICERS

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors ("Board") of the Lake Minnetonka Conservation District ("LMCD") is required by Minnesota Statutes, section 103B.615 to annually elect officers from among the Board members;

WHEREAS, Article II, Section 2.4 of the Bylaws of the Lake Minnetonka Conservation District ("Bylaws") indicates that the Board is to annually elect a Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, and Treasurer in February;

WHEREAS, the Board has utilized a nominating committee ("Committee") to assist in the election process by recommending a slate of officers to the Board as is permitted by Section 2.4 of the Bylaws; and

WHEREAS, the Committee, with input from the LMCD civil attorney, created and utilized a nominating process for the 2016 election that the Board desires to formally approve and ratify as the standing nominating process for its elections.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby approves and ratifies the attached nominating process as the official process to be followed by the Committee and the Board in the annual selection of its officers.

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED**, that the Committee and Board members may make recommendations for amendments to the nominating process, but the process may only be amended by resolution adopted by a majority vote of all Board members at least 30 days before the date of the election at which the amended process is to be used.

Adopted this 14th day of September, 2016 upon a majority vote of all members.

BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

James Jay Green, Chairperson

Gregory J. Thomas, Secretary

485915v1 TJG LK110-4