

**LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

6:00 P.M., July 14, 2021
Virtual Meeting

Due to COVID-19 Guidelines, pursuant to a statement issued by the presiding officer (Board Chair) under Minnesota Statutes, section 13D.021, the meeting was conducted remotely through electronic means using Zoom. The LMCD's usual meeting room was not open or available to the public or the Directors.

WORK SESSION

6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Members Present: Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Rich Anderson, Orono; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Bill Cook, Greenwood; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Michael Kirkwood, Minnetrista; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Mark Kroll, Excelsior; Denny Newell, Woodland; Nicole Stone, Minnetonka; Jake Walesch, Deephaven; and, Deborah Zorn, Shorewood. Also present: Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; and Matt Cook, Environmental Administrative Technician.

Members Absent: Ben Brandt, Mound.

Persons in Audience:

Eric Evenson, Lt. Bill Gottwaldt, Gabriel Jabbour, and Georgette Jabbour.

1. Update Regarding Sales on the Lake Code Amendment (carried over from June 23, 2021 meeting due to lack of time)

Thomas stated that a draft ordinance was created from the previous discussion of the Board for review and asked for input.

Hughes commented that he is not in favor of this.

Hoelscher commented that this would not open up all sales on the lake, it would only apply to delivery. She stated that sometimes the Board tends to make things more difficult than it needs to be. She stated that White Bear Lake does allow for food delivery on the lake, which requires an application and application fee to be submitted. She stated that unless there is a good reason to restrict activity on the lake, it should be allowed. She did not see how this would detract from businesses or cause safety issues on the lake. She commented that the Board should either allow this, following the example from White Bear Lake, or preclude it. She applauded staff to develop a draft ordinance as directed but believed that the process seems complicated and something staff would not have time to implement. She stated that there were specific requirements included, provisions of where sales could occur, and other limitations within the pilot ordinance that makes it more complicated than it should be.

Director Brandt entered the meeting at this time.

Kroll commented that he strongly supports the pilot program for allowing food delivery for the reasons stated by Hoelscher.

Baasen stated that he has mixed feelings and believes the Board should be careful not to add to congestion on the lake or issues of boat safety.

Anderson stated that the Board is trying to coral illegal charters and is having challenges with that. He referenced the White Bear Lake policy noting that lake is totally different as Lake Minnetonka has destinations where food can be obtained. He stated that he is against this.

Cook stated that he looked at the ordinance book and does not believe it preclude delivery on the lake, it only prohibits sales. He stated that he looks at this discussion as a solution looking for a problem. He believed the current ordinance is fine and deliveries of sales made on shore would be fine and would not be precluded. He commented that this is badly over complicated and believed it would be easier to refine the word sales to not include deliveries.

Thomas stated that FoodSki came to a Board meeting to make public comments and he made reference to the fact that his delivery people would have a Square device where people could swipe their credit card for the purchase. He stated that in his mind if a card is being swiped that would constitute a sale, even if it is the sale of a delivery.

Cook stated that he has made a lot of online purchases and has not had to swipe a card in person. He stated that once you start allowing the transaction to occur on the lake it would be a sale and would be prohibited. He believed it would be dangerous to open those kinds of doors on the lake. He believed the current ordinance would prohibit what that business was asking for and he believed that would be a good thing.

Walesch agreed with the comments of Cook. He did not think this should be made into a big deal. He did not think it was a big deal for people to deliver on the lake. He did not anticipate that would be a big business as it would only be seasonal. He stated that if the LMCD does not presently preclude delivery that would take care of this current request. He stated that overall packaging this up as a pilot program with a lot of staff involvement would not be a good idea. He stated that aside from issuing a permit, if that is even needed, the LMCD should have zero involvement and not try to manage this. He stated that the LMCD staff does not have the time and did not see an upside in licensing those businesses.

Newell stated that he agrees with Walesch, Cook, and Hoelscher that the LMCD does not need to devote staff time to manage this. He did not believe there was an upside to that. He stated that he believed that this should be allowed and if there are problems, those could be addressed in the future. He stated that this seems to be a complicated way to get a burger and was unsure how many people would choose to do that.

Kirkwood commented that he would not see a need to add to the burden of staff time and did not believe it was needed. He stated that if the pilot program moves ahead, it states that the pilot program would end in April 2022, but the other dates refer to the boating season.

Klohs commented that he agrees with the comments of Cook. He stated that based on his comments the

only thing on the table would be whether the LMCD goes public to communicate through perhaps a press release stating that the present ordinances do not prohibit deliveries on the lake and instead prohibit sales on the lake. He noted that would clarify the position and existing ordinance. He stated that if issues come up, those can be addressed in the same manner as dock issues, based upon complaints.

Zorn stated that at the June Operations Committee meeting that was her first review of this draft ordinance concept as she had missed the other Board discussions. She stated that the Operations Committee felt that the issue should be tabled. She stated that she believes that the Board should carefully review this issue. She acknowledged that there are other ordinances related to litter and safety but noted that it is often hard to monitor and enforce those issues. She believed that repercussions to lake stakeholders should be considered based on the additional activity. She stated that she would be in favor of tabling this to have additional consideration.

Stone commented that she agrees with Cook and Klohs and did not have much additional to state. She did not believe the LMCD should be policing this or creating a new ordinance for this.

Thomas stated that he has brought up the concept of a delivery person using a Square device, which he believes would constitute as a sale.

Gilchrist stated that he would agree. He stated that there is a difference between making an online purchase where food is delivered compared to food being brought to and paid for at a boat. He noted that if there is payment exchanging hands with the food, that would be a sale rather than a delivery. He stated that it seems the clear majority is not in favor of licensing this activity that would require staff time. He stated that it is his understanding is that staff has told people that delivery is prohibited under the Code and therefore would be concerned with an interpretation switch. He stated that the gentleman that spoke at the previous meeting bringing a delivery to a boat and accepting payment at the boat considered his service to be delivery. He stated that he believes that more than a conversation and interpretation switch is needed. He stated that if delivery is not to be interpreted within sales, that should be clarified within Code to define what is considered a delivery.

Stone commented that her daughter delivers for Shipt and would not consider that to be sales. She stated that her daughter has to communicate with the person that ordered when items are out of stock and payment may need to be adjusted at the delivery. She stated that she would feel that is still delivery service rather than sales.

Thomas stated that he did not hear any of the Board members say that this ordinance should be approved, and the pilot should go forward as drafted. He stated that while some felt that this could go forward in a less complicated manner, it would seem the majority prefer not to do so. He stated that Gilchrist stated that the Board could clarify in Code that delivery without paying at the exchange of goods would be allowed or it could be clarified that delivery is considered part of sales and is not allowed.

Schleuning stated that from a staff perspective, if delivery in general is allowed that would create more issues in terms of what would happen on the lake. She stated that if there were not a licensure process

that would likely create problems without a method to manage it. She stated that staff receives a lot of calls in the office and has been consistent that delivery is part of the sales process and is not allowed since they are paying for the delivery as part of the sale. She cautioned opening this up without any system to gain information about activities on the lake or process to manage.

Thomas stated that the Board should hear the comments of staff because they deal with the issues daily.

Kroll commented that there seems to be a middle ground of don't ask, don't tell. He stated that he is coming around towards that area as well. He stated that the Board should first ask if there is a problem that needs to be addressed. He noted that this only came forward because of the request from the business that operates on White Bear Lake. He stated that if anything is going to be done, things can continue until there is a problem. He did not believe anything would become out of control. He also did not believe the Water Patrol should hassle the gentleman that came to the Board to ask for permission for this.

Cook commented that he would worry about overregulation. He stated that each time someone would come with a question or idea, he does not believe an ordinance change should be required to open or lock a door and would caution against that kind of overregulation.

Thomas stated that there have been different opinions and believes that the consensus is that the Board has discussed this, there are ordinances that cover this, and the Board should move on off this topic.

Kroll agreed.

Anderson agreed.

Hoelscher commented that she would be happy to let things go as they are but would agree with Cook that her interpretation of the Code is different than how staff has interpreted that in the past. She stated that she reads the ordinance to preclude sales and not delivery. She stated that she would agree that clarification could be made that pure delivery is allowed while sales are not. She commented that she had a prop for her boat delivered today via boat.

Thomas commented that he would believe prop delivery would fall under the category of dock installation and/or boat cleaning. He stated that there is an issue as to whether deliveries are precluded or not mentioned in the ordinance. He asked Hoelscher whether she believes the use of a Square reader would be considered delivery or sales.

Hoelscher commented that Gilchrist stated that would be a sale. She stated that she does not believe this is that big of a deal. She stated that there is one person that wants to do this, and the Board has spent over two hours talking about this with only one person in objection.

Gilchrist commented that he hears the direction of the Board. He noted that this would be a change. He noted that when this came up three years ago, he suggested that clarification be provided as to whether

delivery is considered sales. He stated that since that time, if staff received a call asking whether deliveries are allowed, the person would have been told no, that it is not allowed. He stated that he would be concerned that the position of the LMCD is now switching. He stated that at minimum a statement should be released from the Board stating that the Code has been interpreted to state that the prohibition of sales does not include deliveries on the lake. He explained that could then be used by staff to explain the difference in opinion. He stated that without that it would be viewed as a change in interpretation without something formal to support that.

Thomas commented that personally he would make it clear that delivery is part of sales and would not allow it for reasons of safety, congestion, and litter. He commented that it seems unnecessary as people can pick up food from the dock of a restaurant. He recognized that he is in the minority on this matter.

Anderson agreed with the comments of Thomas.

Thomas confirmed the consensus of the Board to allow delivery on the lake. He confirmed that Gilchrist could work with Schleuning to prepare a statement or ordinance clarification that the Board could review at a formal meeting.

Gilchrist stated that staff can work to do so, but anything short of a Code amendment would not be able to clarify items such as not allowing liquor delivery.

Anderson noted that most people appear to be fine with liquor and therefore the statement would seem to be sufficient.

2. Board Input Regarding Registration or Licensing of Emergency Towing Services and Watercraft Rental Businesses

Schleuning commented that over the past few years there have been some concerns, complaints, and/or issues with rental watercraft. She stated that citations have been issued but enforcement can be challenging, and it has created significant safety issues and nuisances. She asked if the Board wants to consider a licensing, or other type of ordinance, to regulate rental watercraft businesses. She stated that the second part of the discussion would be related to emergency towing services, noting that it is important for those businesses to have the proper insurance and safety equipment. She stated that it is also helpful to have a listing of those businesses to provide to the public to take the pressure off Water Patrol. She noted that if the information were listed on the LMCD website it would promote the businesses that do a good job of meeting the necessary requirements and help people to connect with those services. She stated that if the Board is interested, staff could bring ordinances forward to the Operations Committee to consider.

Thomas stated that the question would be whether the LMCD should be involved in licensing watercraft rental and emergency towing businesses.

Schleuning stated that staff is already spending a lot of time on complaints and therefore this would

provide assistance with enforcement and education. She confirmed that there is an ordinance related to watercraft rental, but no ordinance related to emergency towing.

Thomas asked what else LMCD should be doing outside of what is in the ordinance.

Schleuning stated that it could be reasonable to license that activity. She stated that with the amount of time spent on complaints and with safety concerns, this action would make sense.

Thomas asked how licensing would alleviate the concerns.

Schleuning stated that when rental pop-up businesses violate safety or nuisance ordinances a citation can be issued. She stated that the businesses just keep violating because the citation amounts are not that much. She stated that the license process would ensure there is insurance, ensure the requirements are known and would provide additional enforcement as repeat violations could result in the license being revoked. She stated that a decal system could be added to alert Water Patrol that the boat has been licensed and inspected. She stated that this would create an even playing field to ensure that all businesses are treated the same and accountable. She stated that this would also make it easily known to marina operations to know which businesses are licensed.

Thomas stated that Water Patrol will be providing a presentation at the regular Board meeting and asked if it would be appropriate to ask the position of Water Patrol on these two issues.

Schleuning commented that Water Patrol has already stated they would find licensing helpful for these types of businesses.

Hughes commented that in regard to emergency towing services, he believed there were businesses of that nature, and it would be helpful to have those services for boaters.

Anderson stated that there were tow services through H2O Towing up until last year. He stated that if a business is willing to fill that gap, he was unsure that needed to be licensed. He stated that Mr. Jabbour has assisted in towing when needed.

Thomas stated that he was unsure that licensing would be needed for towing but rather listing the businesses on the website.

Schleuning confirmed that staff would want to share that information on the website but would also want to ensure there is proper insurance and that other safety requirements are being followed.

Anderson stated that the unfortunate thing is that there is no business to list.

Schleuning stated that there is one business and sharing that information would be helpful for the public and business.

Anderson agreed that would be helpful as the marina owners are not even aware of that business. He believed that the LMCD should do what it can to help promote that business.

Hoelscher agreed that it would be helpful to provide the businesses on the website with a statement that the LMCD does not vouch for those entities but is simply providing the contact information rather than attempting to license.

Thomas agreed that would be a great perspective.

Kroll stated that the County 911 dispatcher should be made aware of the business as well in the case when they receive a call from someone that needs a tow.

Walesch agreed that the LMCD should not get into licensing and control but if there is something the Water Patrol feels would be helpful to assist them with an issue, he would be interested in hearing that perspective. He stated that he would support providing resources on the website with the disclaimer that the LMCD has not vetted the businesses.

Baasen commented that Hoelscher made a great statement and agreed that licensing is not needed for businesses that will help others on the lake. He agreed it would be helpful to share the towing company information on the website.

Thomas stated that there seems to be consensus on the emergency towing and noted that there was not enough time to discuss the rental watercraft but stated that Water Patrol could be asked for their opinion during the regular Board meeting.

There being no further business, the work session was adjourned at 6:57 p.m.

FORMAL MEETING

7:00 p.m.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Thomas called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

Members present: Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Rich Anderson, Orono; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Bill Cook, Greenwood; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Ben Brandt, Mound; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Michael Kirkwood, Minnetrista; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Mark Kroll, Excelsior; Denny Newell, Woodland; Nicole Stone, Minnetonka; Jake Walesch, Deephaven; and, Deborah Zorn, Shorewood. Also present: Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleunig, Executive Director; and Matt Cook, Environmental Administrative

Technician.

Members absent: None.

Persons in Audience:

Aaron Bean, Eric Evenson, Lt. Bill Gottwaldt, Gabriel Jabbour, and Georgette Jabbour.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Baasen moved, Cook seconded to approve the agenda as submitted.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

5. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS

Chair Thomas extended gratitude to a number of individuals and organizations that helped to keep Lake Minnetonka a safe and enjoyable place over the Fourth of July weekend. He stated that occasionally a Board member will make a motion during a formal Board meeting on something that was not noticed on the public agenda. He believed that activity should be avoided, making motions on things not on the agenda, with the exception of things that need response in a timely manner. He noted that ensuring things are included on the agenda provides an opportunity for the public to provide input. He read aloud a presiding officer statement about the return to in person meetings for the Board and Committees. He stated that he has heard the desire to continue to offer Zoom as an option and staff is investigating whether that could be an option. He noted that Wayzata currently does not have the technical capability to do that at this time.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- 6/23/2021 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

Anderson noted that for the 2022 contribution list the amount is reflected in the minutes as \$60,500 and that figure is correct but noted that the amount in the contribution list for the Consent Agenda is missing one payment.

Schleuning stated that there is a note at the bottom about the additional \$3,000 check that was included in the resolution accepting the donations.

MOTION: Thomas moved, Anderson seconded to approve the 6/23/21 LMCD Regular Board Meeting minutes as submitted.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	abstain
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Baasen moved, Kroll seconded to approve the consent agenda as presented. Items so approved included: **7A)** Audit of Vouchers (07/01/2021 – 07/15/2021); **7B)** Resolution Ratifying Acceptance of Save the Lake Funds (06/15/2021 – 06/24/2021); and **7C)** Application for New Multiple Dock License and Special Density License for Partial Change in Classification, Lord Fletcher’s Old Lake Lodge, 3746 Sunset Drive (PID 17-117-23-31-0049) including 3800, 3804, and 3810 Budd Lane (PIDs 17-117-23-23-0024, 17-117-23-23-0025 and 17-117-23-23-0029), Spring Park, MN 55384.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye

Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Persons in attendance, subjects not on the agenda (limited to 5 minutes)

Gabriel Jabbour, 985 Tonkawa Road, thanked Thomas for his kind comments noting that he feels it is the responsibility to help those in distress. He stated that Kroll made a comment that struck him at the workshop related to “don’t ask, don’t tell”. He stated that he believed that is inappropriate, offensive, and not representative of the LMCD. He believed that this body of government does not need that inappropriateness. He stated that he has asked the Police Chief if Kroll’s house could be picketed.

Eric Evenson, Lake Minnetonka Association (LMA), commented that he would hope that there is no bias in this organization as there is no place for that in any public format. He stated that the LMA has finished the bay treatments in all of the bays on the lake except for Phelps Bay. He thanked Zorn and Eastborn for finishing to raise the funds to treat that bay. He commented that it has been a tremendously weedy year. He stated that the treatment applicator has really been able to control curly leaf and milfoil in those bays. He noted that eventually they would like to spread the treatment lake wide. He stated that he missed the workshop discussion related to sales and deliveries and that LMA is very opposed to expanding those activities. He stated that it was presented as a pilot program, but the parameters were unclear, and believed that expanded sales and deliveries would add to the congestion on the lake.

Thomas commented that the Board had a discussion during the workshop and stated that the Board provided consensus that there was no interest in pursuing a pilot program at this time.

Evenson thanked the Board for its discussion on the topic and not moving forward on that concept.

Thomas stated that the item will be on an upcoming Board meeting agenda to clarify whether deliveries, and not sales, are allowed.

Anderson stated that he is aware of the effort it takes to treat the bays and thanked Evenson, Zorn and the other resident that assisted in that effort to get Phelps Bay treated.

9. PRESENTATIONS

A) Hennepin County Sheriff's Office Water Patrol Update

Lieutenant Bill Gottwaldt stated that the Fourth of July weekend was a fairly busy weekend and provided a summary of the Water Patrol activity including BUI's, citations, and warnings. He reviewed the statistics from June on Lake Minnetonka. He also highlighted the other agencies that provided assistance on the holiday weekend.

Thomas asked if there were injuries over the holiday weekend.

Gottwaldt commented that there was one serious personal injury boat crash over the holiday weekend and the boating fatality and drowning that occurred prior to that weekend.

Anderson thanked Gottwaldt for the presentation. He stated that the statistics from the LMCD funded deputies were phenomenal. He stated that he met with Schleuning to look at some of the Water Patrol boats and spoke with Cline about illegal charters. He stated that it was stated that a media blitz be provided related to illegal charters with citations to follow and asked if there was an update on that effort.

Gottwaldt commented that before the season began, they were looking at where illegal businesses were advertising, and they contacted those businesses that were not registered to alert them to the process to obtain the necessary certifications. He stated that they have submitted about five citations/formal complaints for that activity. He stated that they attempt to use education rather than enforcement with the main focus to ensure that proper safety equipment is on board.

Anderson commented that there are legal and licensed charter businesses that operate on the lake. He commented that there should be protection for those businesses. He stated that it would seem to be a good idea to follow the concept of releasing the media statement and then following with enforcement for unlicensed operators.

Schleuning stated that she received feedback on the draft press release from the other agencies and Communications Committee. She noted that the intent was to release the statement after the Fourth of July holiday, and it should be ready for release soon. She confirmed that the release would go to the normal sources and could also be sent to the cities. She stated that the Water Patrol is not waiting for that release to start enforcement. She stated that a list of licensed charter boats and requirements has been posted on the LMCD website. She believed the release would be ready soon.

Gottwaldt stated that he received a recent updated version and is just awaiting approval on his end to the final format.

Thomas stated that during the workshop the Board discussed whether there should be an emergency towing service registry. He stated that the Board consensus was that licensing and registry would not be required as

there are not many businesses of that nature, but instead those businesses could be listed on the LMCD website.

Gottwaldt commented that he is aware of one business of that nature. He stated that over the holiday weekend the Water Patrol assisted with several stranded boats. He stated that when Hennepin County receives a call of that nature, they will attempt to respond but will also make the caller aware of the business as sometimes Water Patrol is stretched thin at busy times.

Thomas commented that the Board also discussed watercraft rental business. He stated that there is an ordinance related to watercraft rental through LMCD. He stated that there was a question as to whether that business should be licensed and whether that would assist with long-term compliance and education. He asked for input from Water Patrol.

Gottwaldt commented that their main goal is to ensure people are operating safely. He stated that when they become aware of places, they attempt to make contact. He stated that sometimes they cannot get in touch with the person until they stop someone for a safety check and determine it is a rental. He stated that they want people to have fun but want to ensure that things are done safely and properly. He stated that some people are doing things right and others are not. He noted that their goal is to ensure that when they encounter someone, they are doing things right. He stated that whatever the LMCD decides to do, Water Patrol will follow through.

Thomas asked if the LMCD could be of any further help to Water Patrol related to watercraft rental and whether licensing would be helpful.

Gottwaldt commented that the reason for licensing is to ensure problems are addressed. He stated that he believes that it would be helpful and would seem to be a good idea. He commented that they have had problems and complaints related to rentals that they are trying to address.

Schleuning stated that some of this discussion with Water Patrol began before Gottwaldt joined the Water Patrol. She believed that it would be helpful to have the input from the other members of Water Patrol and for Gottwaldt to have the opportunity to speak with those members and have additional discussion with LMCD staff if needed.

Thomas thanked Gottwaldt and his team for all of their efforts to help maintain the safety and enjoyment of the lake.

Schleuning thanked Gottwaldt and his team for being great partners.

10. PUBLIC HEARING

There were no public hearings.

11. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

12. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business.

13. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business.

14. TREASURER REPORT

Anderson acknowledged additional donations that have been received towards 2022 Water Patrol services.

15. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATE

Schleuning provided the following information:

- Appreciation expressed to everyone that stepped up over the holiday weekend towards safety and litter
- Observations on July 3, 2021, staff went to different locations to conduct monitoring. People were respectful but a few safety concerns were identified, and staff will focus more attention on education efforts.
- Lake Minnetonka Water Levels are several inches lower than typical levels.
- Fish facial recognition is being researched and used by agencies in other areas as a new technology for AIS management.

5th Street Ventures/Back Channel Brewing would like to install a shade system for their dock attendant due to the heat. The required attendant is a condition of its license. She noted that seems to be a reasonable request and asked if the Board would support that.

Thomas agreed that employee health is important and would support a simple sunshade as long as there is no advertising. He confirmed the consensus of the Board.

Schleuning stated that the Caribbean Marina is in the phasing stage and transition process for the new dock system, with one section left. She stated that there are certain requirements for the fuel service console and shelter for the dock and asked if the Board is comfortable enforcing the current standards.

Baasen commented that if the Board has already approved the installation of a fuel dock, it would be wise to send a copy of the Code that covers that installation so the business is aware of what can be done, rather than attempting to enforce something in the future with the statement that the business was not aware. The Board agreed.

16. STANDING LMCD COMMITTEE/WORKGROUP

Aquatic Invasive Species: Brandt reported that the group did not present to the Officer's meeting with the reasoning

that they wanted to regroup with the Committee before bringing anything back to the Board formally. He stated that he is looking to schedule another AIS meeting before the end of the month.

Communications: Hoelscher reported that the Committee met on June 24th and continues to work on updating the fact sheet. She hoped to have a draft for the Board to review at its next meeting which will hopefully include an elevator pitch. She stated that the group will meet again on July 22nd.

Finance: Anderson commented that the Committee did not hold a meeting but did purchase a boat at a lesser cost than authorized that he feels will provide the staff with the tools it needs. He asked if the Board would authorize Cook to assist with housing and long-term care for the boat.

Thomas stated that if someone else would like to be a part of that process they could reach out to Anderson or Cook.

Operations: Zorn stated that the Committee has not met since the last Board meeting but will meet the following week to review another draft of the Strategic Plan. She stated that the goal is to plan for a future Board workshop to review the Strategic Plan.

Save the Lake: Baasen reported that there are nine members of the Committee who have all been instrumental in accomplishing major changes to how the lake can be served and raising funds to support that effort. He stated that the group is very close to finalizing the solicitation letter which will be mailed within the next month. He stated that the group has seen that direct contact with donors has been very effective and the members of the Board could use the information to speak with donors in their area to assist in raising funds. There has been discussion towards boater safety classes and making those more accessible.

Anderson commented that the group spoke about acknowledging within the Strategic Plan about honoring donations that are made. He stated that it was mentioned that donations should be removed from the Consent Agenda and taking the few minutes to honor those that have made donations.

Baasen stated that he would meet with Schleuning and Thomas as to how that recognition could be made. He agreed that large donors would like that recognition and other donors could state that they do not want recognition.

17. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Baasen moved, Kirkwood seconded to adjourn the meeting at 8:12 p.m.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye

Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

Gregg Thomas, Chair

Dan Baasen, Secretary