

**LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

6:00 P.M., September 8, 2021
Virtual Meeting

Due to COVID-19 Guidelines, pursuant to a statement issued by the presiding officer (Board Chair) under Minnesota Statutes, section 13D.021, the meeting was conducted remotely through electronic means using Zoom. The LMCD's usual meeting room was not open or available to the public or the Directors.

WORK SESSION

6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Members Present: Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Rich Anderson, Orono; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Bill Cook, Greenwood; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Ben Brandt, Mound; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Michael Kirkwood, Minnetrista; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Mark Kroll, Excelsior; Denny Newell, Woodland; Nicole Stone, Minnetonka; Jake Walesch, Deephaven; and Deborah Zorn, Shorewood. Also present: Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; and Matt Cook, Environmental Administrative Technician.

Members Absent: None

Persons in Audience:

Steve Crumley, Eric Evenson, Gabriel Jabbour, Sara Noah, and Jay Soule.

The following username was present but the person(s) did not identify themselves: Andrew.

1. Staffing Update and Options

Schleuning stated that a part-time position was recently vacated. She noted that she met with the Chair and Vice Chair to discuss opportunities. She stated that while staff is anxious to get a person in the office to assist with the workload, they also believe it would be appropriate to review the operations of the office and determine the needs for the position. She stated that this is a challenging hiring market and therefore discussion should occur about the marketability of the position along with whether the position should be modified. She stated that the Communications Committee has stated it has \$10,000 that could be used towards this position and noted that the funds budgeted for an intern for the past two years have not been used due to COVID.

Zorn stated that she spoke with Schleuning and received an update from the discussion with the Communications Committee and the view that this position could be a more comprehensive resource for the office. She stated that during the past five years there has continued to be one position that is not filled. She believed that attempting to hire a contract part-time position without benefits would be difficult in this market. She stated she believes that this position would make sense for the office. She commented that even though things are being done differently these past five years, there is still a need for the position, but in a different capacity. She stated that it would be beneficial to have an employee in this position that intends to stay for more than one year. She commended the Communications Committee for having this discussion and bringing the concept to the Board.

Thomas stated that he could be supportive of a broadened job description, realizing that would bring an additional expense. He stated that he would like to see more details related to the specific role and expense. He agreed that there is action needed

Hoelscher stated that times have changed, as has this organization. She stated that a lot more communication is expected (website, press releases, etc.) and she did not believe the District has sufficient staffing for those items. She noted that in the past the District contracted with a firm to assist with communications related items. She stated that they attempted to contract with a journalist this past year but that has not provided the expected results. She stated that there is funding within the budget for communications and therefore it may make sense to bring the communication tasks in-house as that would be more effective and efficient. She stated that the Committee supports using the funds towards this position.

Thomas stated that the question to the Board is whether the staff person is simply replaced with a three day per week person using the previous salary or whether to consider upgrading the position, adding days/hours with an enhanced job description. He stated that if the Board supports enhancement of the position, Hoelscher could work with Schleuning to develop a more specific proposal for the Board.

Kroll stated that it seems like a great idea but did not believe a vote of all members would be needed as this is not a formal request.

Thomas stated that he is simply asking for guidance from the Board on whether a formal proposal should be presented.

Anderson provided additional information on potential funding for the position. He stated that he did not have a position on the matter.

Baasen stated that he would support pursuing the enhanced position. He stated that in the beginning of the last decade there were four long-term employees that contributed extra time and now there is only one full-time employee that puts in extra time. He believed that there needs to be more balance for staff. He acknowledged that this is a difficult time to hire individuals and the full-time position would be more attractive. He stated that he is not approving the position but wants staff to bring back a proposal showing more details on the enhanced position.

Brandt commented that any time hiring is needed there needs to be a reflection on the needs of the organization. He stated that from his perspective he would like to see more information about an enhanced position or more creative opportunities such as summer and winter interns.

Cook stated that he would like to support having a good review of what the organization needs and if the position needs to be enhanced or changed, this would be the best time to do so. He stated that he does not want to see a recommendation that does not have the background information supporting the request. He stated that he does not want to simply replace but understand what the needs are in order to make the decision whether that employee should be replaced.

Hoelscher stated that she supports pursuing the enhanced position.

Kirkwood commented that he also supports pursuing the enhanced options.

Klohs stated that he supports pursuing the enhanced position. He stated that there is an issue nationwide maintaining employees. He stated that District staff have gone for years without the standard number of employees and there needs to be stability. He stated that he wants a committed employee and not interns.

Hughes stated that he would like to see an employee that could bring experience to the position.

Kroll stated that he supports pursuing the enhanced option.

Newell stated that he agrees with Cook and Anderson. He stated that there has been an unfortunate loss of an employee and the immediate reaction would be to fill or build the position but there really has not been a hard look at what is going on in the office. He stated that the harvesting program has been eliminated and was unsure the number of hours staff has previously spent on that program. He stated that he would like to see a time study before he makes a choice. He stated that he is not opposed to building out and enhancing the organization but simply wants to make sure the actual needs of the organization are known.

Stone commented that she has mixed feeling about this topic. She stated that she has had staffing issues for the past 18 months because of COVID. She stated that after speaking with Schleuning the previous week and learning about the continued demands on her time, she feels that Schleuning has gone into the weeds. She stated that losing a staff person can make things feel more chaotic. She stated that she does support finding a half-time employee that could help to provide better communication and handle the demands from the community. She stated that she also agrees with Brandt and perhaps there are interns that can be used to help with small projects.

Zorn stated that she supports pursuing the enhanced position.

Thomas commented that the majority of the Board is interested in pursuing the enhanced position. He commented that Schleuning can work with Hoelscher and Zorn to develop a proposal to bring back to the Board.

Anderson asked if a full committee should be involved rather than a few Board members.

Zorn commented that would be fine. She stated that because the Board is having this discussion, she would welcome any members that would like to participate in this process. She noted that this could be a task for the Operations Committee as she and Hoelscher are members of that committee. She noted that the purpose of the discussion tonight was to ensure that there would be support for going this route and whether additional work should be put into this option.

Klohs stated that this has been discussed by the Communications Committee quite a bit.

Zorn agreed and noted that either committee could be involved or she and Hoelscher and any other interested members can work with Schleuning on this matter.

Thomas noted that whatever path is decided, he wants to ensure that this is managed expeditiously. He noted that this would come back before the whole Board and the decision would not be made only by those involved in drafting the proposal with Schleuning.

Klohs stated that the entire Board has discussed this matter tonight and provided input, therefore he does not see the need to push this back to a committee.

Thomas agreed that he would not want to hold this matter up because of scheduling of committee meetings.

2. AIS Committee Update

Brandt provided background information on the process thus far including the Vegetation and AIS Master Plan, contracted facilitator working with the LMCD Board, and AIS Committee review. He introduced Sarah Noah to provide a summary of findings.

Thomas suggested that the full document be emailed to the Board after tonight's meeting and that Ms. Noah provide her input to prevent Board members from reading and listening at the same time.

Sarah Noah stated that in looking at this organization she felt it important to find out if there is a unified view of what the role related to AIS should be prior to holding a TAG meeting. She recognized that there are 14 members of the Board, and each might have their own view on what the District's role should be in terms of AIS management. She noted that there seems to be support for the District to have a role in AIS prevention and management. She stated that the Board should decide the role it desires to have related to AIS management. She stated that there seems to be support to have a collaborative, cooperative, and coordinated partnership with other organizations and entities on the lake. She believed that the first step would be for the District to develop a collaborative, cooperative, and coordinated vision for itself and the organization regarding its role in AIS. She asked how all the Board members would be engaged to come to agreement on what should and will be done. She stated that the Master Plan has been completed and this is the time for the Board to decide its role in AIS going forward. She stated that some of the things that had been mentioned towards that collaborative effort would be to attend meetings of other entities, develop funding sources, funding research projects on the lake, supporting legislative efforts for the lake, building communications with other entities, and coordinating with other entities to develop a plan to manage and treatment of the lake. She explained that the District could have a coordinator and collaboration role with others on the lake. She commented that the current staffing and resources of the LMCD do not lend themselves to taking on that effort. She stated that one suggestion was to potentially establish a contract role and hiring for coordinating expanded AIS activities. She stated that if the Board decided to go in that direction, that position would require someone with certain skills and abilities such as an understanding of the lake, knowledge of AIS, knows the agencies and stakeholders, and could develop short- and long-range plans with the Board that would be appropriate for the AIS effort. She stated that it was also mentioned that the District would need someone that could raise funds and increase enthusiasm related to AIS. She stated that other entities would be willing to cooperate with the

District if a plan were presented.

Newell stated that the previous day he was invited to a meeting held by Eric Evenson, discussing some of the projects going on around the lake by the LMA in cooperation with others. He stated that he felt LMA was doing good work. He did not see that the LMCD should be competing with that effort and should instead look to complement that work. He did not believe a Lake Manager is needed and instead the LMCD should look to be a facilitator rather than at the head of the parade.

Brandt stated that the findings of Ms. Noah support that statement and idea. He stated that he does not believe it would be appropriate to hold a TAG meeting at this time and the group should instead look at all the recommendations from Ms. Noah's report. He stated that he will share the full report with the Board in the next 24 hours and agreed that the future related to AIS would be a partnership rather than leadership role. He confirmed that he did attend the LMA meeting the previous day related to AIS management and the organization is doing great work around the lake to help people enjoy it.

Ms. Noah commented that additional questions may arise in the future, and she would be happy to talk with the Board again if that is desired.

Walesch asked if Cook would still be providing a presentation, noting that perhaps that should happen before questions are taken in order to ensure there is sufficient time.

Cook commented that the AIS Committee had a meeting in attempt to wrap up the Vegetative Management Plan. He stated that the committee reviewed the goals of the plan and reviewed the status of those activities. He stated that the harvesters have been sold and the District has the ability to contract harvest if needed. He stated that proactive AIS prevention is a challenge. He stated that he would like to focus less on partnering and more on supporting the efforts of others through providing funding efforts for collaboration and education. He stated that a vegetative communications tool has also been developed. He stated that the LMCD does not have the resources to take an active leadership role in AIS. He believed that LMA is much better equipped to take a leadership role and the LMCD can provide funding when appropriate and assist in permitting. He reviewed the suggested next steps which include accepting the Vegetative Management Plan as completed, canceling the TAG meeting and stop the TAG meeting process, and end the facilitator contract. He explained that accepting the plan as complete would not hold the Board to the recommendations within the plan. He stated that the AIS Committee, with Board approval, could then establish processes, procedures, and opportunities for ongoing activities. He stated that at the regular meeting he will make a motion that the Board accepts the Vegetative Master Plan document, cancel further work on the TAG meeting, and transition to the AIS Committee/Board directed process.

Thomas thanked Brandt and Cook for what they have done to move the process forward. He also acknowledged the desired direction and the change in mindset that may be necessary in order to move forward in that direction. He commented that this process seems to make sense.

3. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the work session was adjourned at 6:59 p.m.

FORMAL MEETING

7:00 p.m.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Thomas called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. ROLL CALL

Members present: Gregg Thomas, Tonka Bay; Rich Anderson, Orono; Ann Hoelscher, Victoria; Bill Cook, Greenwood; Dan Baasen, Wayzata; Ben Brandt, Mound; Gary Hughes, Spring Park; Michael Kirkwood, Minnetrista; Dennis Klohs, Minnetonka Beach; Mark Kroll, Excelsior; Denny Newell, Woodland; Nicole Stone, Minnetonka; Jake Walesch, Deephaven; and, Deborah Zorn, Shorewood. Also present: Troy Gilchrist, LMCD Legal Counsel; Vickie Schleuning, Executive Director; and Matt Cook, Environmental Administrative Technician.

Members absent: None.

Persons in Audience:

Tim Connelly, Steve Crumley, Eric Evenson, Gabriel Jabbour, Sara Noah, and Jay Soule.

The following usernames were present but the person(s) did not identify themselves: Andrew, Charles.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Thomas moved, Hoelscher seconded to approve the agenda as submitted.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

5. CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no Chair announcements.

6. APPROVAL OF MINUTES- 08/11/2021 LMCD Regular Board Meeting

MOTION: Brandt moved, Cook seconded to approve the 08/11/21 LMCD Regular Board Meeting minutes as submitted.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt a	ye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	abstain

Motion carried unanimously.

7. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

MOTION: Walesch moved, Kroll seconded to approve the consent agenda as presented. Items so approved included: **7A)** Audit of Vouchers (08/16/21 – 08/31/21) and (09/01/21 – 09/15/21).

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye

Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

8. CONTRIBUTION RECOGNITION

A) Resolution Accepting Save the Lake Contributions (08/05/2021 – 08/31/2021)

Baasen stated that to date there have been contributions received for 2021 campaign along with contributions for the 2022 campaign. He noted that the 2022 campaign is significantly above the 2021 campaign. He stated that there have been a number of contributions at the sponsor level or above. He stated that during August there were 47 contributions and he recognized those that provided contributions at \$250 or above. He stated that targeting those that can contribute at higher levels with a solicitation letter and fact sheet has been successful. He stated that they will continue to campaign through September and October and will then develop the year end campaign.

MOTION: Baasen moved, Thomas seconded to adopt the resolution accepting Save the Lake Contributions (08/05/2021 – 08/31/2021).

Further discussion: Newell asked if there has been a mailing from the LMCD, as he has not seen that.

Baasen confirmed that over 400 solicitation letters were mailed, along with the information provided on the LMCD website. He acknowledged that Newell has already contributed and was unsure why he did not receive a mailing. He stated that the Save the Lake Committee meeting will be held virtually on September 28th.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye

Walesch aye
Zorn aye

Motion carried unanimously.

Board members asked if it is necessary to accept the donations by motion. It was confirmed that the action to accept the donations would go back to the Consent Agenda and Baasen would simply provide the recognition during this agenda item without requiring separate action.

9. PUBLIC COMMENTS- Persons in attendance, subjects not on the agenda (limited to 5 minutes)

Steve Crumley, 2445 Acorn Run, stated that he would like to address the topic of casual charters on the lake, which has become an issue. He stated that different types of charters have been lumped together but believed that they fell into the categories of unlicensed captains, licensed and inspected captains which have applications that have been stopped because they do not dock at commercial marinas, and fishing charters which continue to be undefined and unregulated. He provided a chart related to safety and 2021 incidents broken down by vessel type. He noted that pontoons and personal watercraft account for the majority of incidents. He stated that there has not been much discussion on how safety can be improved on pontoons and personal watercraft and that energy is instead being placed on stopping casual charters. He stated that the requirements for rental boats are minimal and there is nothing regulating fishing charters. He stated that the requirements related to watercraft for hire are very strict and even if those criteria have been met, applications are being denied because a boat is not docked at a commercial location. He stated that there is a high demand for casual charters as people can create a personal itinerary. He stated that casual charters do not compete with large charter operations and should be defined separately and focus on the requirements from the State and related to safety. He stated that those operators can then become proponents for safety and encourage others to operate safely on the lake. He requested that the Board give this serious consideration during 2021. He believed that the enforcement on this topic has gotten out of focus.

Thomas asked that staff forward these slides to the full Board. He stated that this item is not on the agenda tonight but may be discussed at a future meeting.

Gabriel Jabbour, 985 Tonkawa Road, stated that he disagrees with the previous speaker and believed that the use of certain words was misleading. He stated that no one mandates that the boat be docked at a commercial location, but the port of call has to be at a commercial location, which could be a municipal dock. He stated that he does not like to see his neighbor have a commercial charter leaving from the property. He stated that the cities spend an enormous amount of time and energy to man the areas where those people could be picked up and where parking would be provided. He stated that he owns four marinas and one dredging company and he does not want any of those people to be picked up at his property. He stated that in order to operate his marina in Excelsior Bay, he cannot have a charter initiate or destinate in his marina. He stated that if the LMCD allows this to happen it will cause havoc for enforcement. He stated that while he does not agree with antiquated codes, he has stood up and backed the LMCD regulations. He explained that there are reasons these regulations were put into place. He stated that he chose to have lakeshore property but did not choose to live next to a personal property where charters can take on passengers. He stated that the LMCD should think deep and look at all the violators. He noted that some of those boats are worth over \$1,000,000 and some of those people only intend to depreciate the value of the boats and do not care about

the operation. He stated that LMCD fines are minimal and do not impact those with substantial boats.

Crumley stated that he agrees that there should not be traffic from charters in neighborhoods. He stated that he does not agree that everyone is involved in casual charters to write-off their boat.

10. PUBLIC HEARING

There were no public hearings.

11. OTHER BUSINESS

There was no other business.

12. OLD BUSINESS

A) 2021/2022 Strategic Plan

Thomas noted that this was discussed at the last workshop, and this is the formal request for approval.

Zorn thanked Hoelscher and the Operations Committee for presenting the information at the workshop in August. She stated that she did not have anything to add.

MOTION: Zorn moved, Anderson seconded to adopt the 2021/2022 Strategic Plan.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

Anderson thanked Schleuning for developing the roadmap that will be used by committees.

Thomas recognized the suggestion made by Hoelscher to have the roadmap to use. He also thanked all the committees for their work and input into the Strategic Plan.

13. NEW BUSINESS

A) Requested Code Amendment Regarding Speed Limit Exemptions for Emergency Response

Thomas stated that there is a requested Code amendment regarding speed limit exemptions for emergency response, which was brought forward by the City of Tonka Bay. He stated that the Excelsior Fire Department boat is docked in a no wake bay and the Tonka Bay City Council would like the Fire Department boats to be considered the same as the Sheriff's Department boats. He stated that they believe that both types of emergency response vehicles should fall under the exemption category. He noted that Gilchrist drafted a proposed change to the ordinance.

Gilchrist stated that the exemptions for law enforcement exist within the Code, but it is not consistent throughout the Code. He stated that it is fair to say there is not an exemption to each of those references throughout the Code, but 8-203 does provide a law enforcement exemption for speed. He stated that his suggestion would be to make that exemption for law enforcement and fire personnel throughout the Code and make it clear that they must be operating government watercraft when responding to an emergency.

Thomas commented that this seems pretty straightforward. He noted that it can be brought back for a second reading if necessary. He asked if there were any questions, comments, or concerns from the Board. None were raised.

MOTION: Kroll moved, Newell to approve the ordinance amendment regarding speed limit exemptions for emergency response.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

14. TREASURER REPORT

Anderson had nothing further to report.

15. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR UPDATE

Schleuning provided the following information:

- MAISRC Showcase will be held Wednesday September 22nd with sessions for prevention and management of AIS.
- Starry Trek was held on August 22nd and was a good event. Additional information about the event can be found on the LMCD website. Starry Stonewort was not identified in any new locations in Minnesota, but other AIS were identified in different lakes.
- Deicing operations applications were mailed and are due back October 1st.
- 2021 experienced the highest boating fatalities in Minnesota since 2005. Boaters need to continue to focus on safety. Cold water temperatures add additional risk.
- The Board approved some additional watercraft at the Caribbean and some questions were received from the public.
- Several parties are reviewing options for their multiple dock facilities and have been working with staff. Staff will provide additional details to the Board on the different requests.
- Minnetonka Yacht Club will meet with staff to review options to bring their facility more up to date with current demands.
- Wake Responsibly Lake Minnetonka event on September 15th at Lord Fletchers at 5 p.m. RSVP is required for the event.
- 18 cases of watercraft for hire and watercraft rental violations have been forwarded to the prosecutor.
- Some tweaks were made to the website in response to the comments received from stakeholders.
- Some calls have been received about low water. She provided details about the lake levels, noting that while under the OHW mark, the level is still above the lowest levels reported this season.
- Concerns were reporting about some solar lights not working. Some of the older models need replacement in order to ensure they work consistently.
- Staff is working with the City of Orono as the city looks at options to provide access to properties through the fire lanes at Big Island.

16. STANDING LMCD COMMITTEE/WORKGROUP

Aquatic Invasive Species: Brandt stated that the committee is working to develop a long-term strategy on how the LMCD could assist other organizations and entities in their AIS management efforts throughout the lake. He stated that the committee will next meet on September 14th and will focus on the new strategy that will place the LMCD in a collaborative and cooperative position to other entities in terms of treatment and education. He stated that once developed, the committee will bring forward that formal structure concept to the Board to review.

Thomas noted that this topic was also discussed during the workshop meeting prior to this meeting.

MOTION: Cook moved, Anderson seconded to accept the Vegetative Master Plan document without accepting or

adopting the recommendations, cancel further work on the TAG meeting, and transition to the AIS Committee/Board directed process.

Further discussion: Brandt noted that he still fully intends to have TAG involvement with those individuals in more of a one-on-one focused setting.

Kirkwood stated that this would finalize the AIS Report and accept it as it is. He asked if that would commit the Board to any actions items that would not be obvious.

Cook confirmed that is why he included that language in the motion to clarify that the Board is not accepting or adopting the recommendations within the report/plan.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

Communications: Hoelscher reported that the committee had a productive meeting the previous week and decided that the budgeted funds could best be used by supporting a staff position. She stated that the contract relationship with the journalist has been terminated and the committee would like to use those funds to provide assistance in obtaining another staff member. She stated that the group will next meet on October 21st and will focus on the winter rules and how to best share those with the public.

Finance: Anderson had nothing further to report.

Operations: Zorn reported that the committee will meet the following week and will discuss the staffing position discussed at the workshop tonight.

Anderson stated that the AIS Committee will also meet on that date.

Brandt stated that he could change the date of the AIS meeting.

Zorn stated that the AIS Committee could meet on the planned date, and she would adjust the date of the Operations Committee meeting.

Save the Lake: Baasen stated that the committee will next meet on September 28th or October 5th to review the 2021 program and whether any adjustments should be made to the direct solicitation for the end of the year. He stated that he and Schleuning appeared before the Wayzata City Council to answer questions related to renegade boat rentals on their city docks. He stated that the City has increased patrols in order to combat that issue and would like to see the LMCD continue to focus on unauthorized boat rentals and unlicensed charters. He also provided details on the LMCD booth location for the upcoming at James J. Hill Days in Wayzata.

Kroll provided details on the Arts and Apples on the Lake event in Excelsior.

Newell provided details on the upcoming floating boat show.

17. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Baasen moved, Kirkwood seconded to adjourn the meeting at 8:02 p.m.

VOTE: A roll call vote was performed:

Anderson	aye
Baasen	aye
Brandt	aye
Cook	aye
Hoelscher	aye
Hughes	aye
Kirkwood	aye
Klohs	aye
Kroll	aye
Newell	aye
Stone	aye
Thomas	aye
Walesch	aye
Zorn	aye

Motion carried unanimously.

Gregg Thomas, Chair

Dan Baasen, Secretary