

**From:** [Robbie Davis](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Rule Change - THANK YOU!  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 10:23:41 AM

---

Dear LMCD members,

We believe this rule change is an excellent one, and comes just in time of saving our wonderful lake. Boats traveling at fast speeds, especially the trendy wakeboats, are eroding the shoreline. We have heard of shorelines being eroded to the point of trees falling into the lake. We need to preserve this treasure called Lake Minnetonka, like our ancestors did for us.

There's also the point of safety—too many boats go at speeds that are way too fast. This is safe for no one.

We fully endorse this proposed rule change. Thank you for your consideration.

Robbie & Rob Davis  
55 Willow Wood Drive  
Tonka Bay

## LMCD IS CONSIDERING A RULE CHANGE

Earlier this summer, we launched a thorough review process that included hosting a public listening session, gathering data, reviewing research, and connecting with member cities and boating industry leaders. You can learn more about this process on our Boat-Generated Wakes Review page. As a result, one step the LMCD is considering is updating the rule on watercraft speeds near the shoreline. The new ordinance would place a five-miles-per-hour speed limit on all boats within 300 feet of shorelines, beaches, docks, anchored boats, and swimmers. This would be an increase from the current 150-foot distance. A draft of this proposed ordinance is available for review [here](#).

### Provide your input

Before the LMCD board votes on the new rule, they want to hear from you. We invite you to attend our next board meeting to learn more about the proposed rule change and share public comments.

#### LMCD Board Meeting

July 27, 2022

7 p.m. Wayzata Community Center  
600 Rice St.  
Wayzata, MN 55391

We are also accepting written comments sent via email to the LMCD office at [lmcd@lmcd.org](mailto:lmcd@lmcd.org) or by mail to LMCD, 5341 Maywood Rd., Suite 200, Mound, MN 55364.

# FRIENDLY REMINDER

Friendly reminder to send an email to [LMCD.org](mailto:LMCD.org) BEFORE the meeting Wednesday. They'll be voting on adopting a new ordinance that would not allow ANY boat to travel more than 5mph 300ft from a structure/dock or anchored boat. This would effectively make most of the lake no wake if one boat was anchored in a bay. Please spread the word- they count letters.

@WILSONCHN  
@REYNOLDSK  
@LISASIPP1107

**From:** [Bill Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Ordinance Vote  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 1:05:07 PM

---

I would like to submit my concern with an ordinance that you are considering with a 300 foot setback. A set back of 300 feet would effectively turn All the bays of Lake Minnetonka into a no wake zone with only 1-2 boats anchored. This is a family recreational lake that is enjoyed by so many. This ordinance would be very disruptive to good holism family fun that is what the lake should be.

I am against any new ordinance to increase the setback to anything beyond the current 150 feet.

Sincerely,  
Bill Kelly

Homeowner Tonka Bay

--

Bill Kelly  
847-612-9361

**From:** [William Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Do not agree with the Setback proposal  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:57:04 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

To Whom it may concern at the LMCD,

I do not support the proposed ordinance of doubling the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or an anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance and would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family, not a no wake lake which you would be creating with this ordinance. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Sincerely,

**William H. Kelly**

Executive Vice President

[William.Kelly@bbrown.com](mailto:William.Kelly@bbrown.com)

O (952) 937-9222 | C (847) 612-9361

5810 W 78<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 300  
Bloomington, MN 55439



**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE**

The information contained in this communication, including attachments, may contain privileged and confidential information that is intended only for the exclusive use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by return email or telephone immediately. Thank you.

[Privacy Notice](#) | [Legal Notice](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this communication, including attachments, may contain privileged and confidential information that is intended only for the exclusive use of the addressee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone immediately.

PLEASE NOTE: Insurance cannot be bound, altered or cancelled via the email or voicemail system. Coverage confirmation must be communicated through a licensed Brown & Brown Representative.

When Brown & Brown has processed an add, termination or change of status on your behalf, please remember to check your carrier invoices to ensure that all requested adds, terminations & changes were processed correctly

**From:** [Caryn Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** We do NOT support  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:55:26 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Caryn Meak Kelly  
35 Fairhope  
Tonka Bay

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Caryn Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** I do NOT support the VOTE  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:54:09 PM

---

I do not support the wake changes you are wanting to instill. We use the lake recreationally and totally disagree implementing no wake within 300ft.

Caryn Kelly  
45 Fairhope Ave  
Tonka Bay  
Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [charlie.nasby](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Absurd Ordinance  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:52:44 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Sent from my iPhone



**From:** [Stacia Bank](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Tonight's meeting  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:43:33 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

We do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback and education for new boaters.

Regards,

The Bank Family

**From:** [Whitney Blasko](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** 300ft restriction on lake Minnetonka  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:42:44 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

We do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays.

We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback. This lake is too large to make a no wake lake. This would be a detriment to our local economy.

Sincerely,  
Whitney Blasko

**From:** [LMCD Website Form](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Contact Us  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 12:39:19 PM

---

**Name**

Jill Johnson

**Email**

[jmccue42@icloud.com](mailto:jmccue42@icloud.com)

**Phone**

(612) 558-6924

**Address**

6621 Parkwood Road  
Edina, MN 55436  
United States  
[Map It](#)

**Question or Comment**

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

**From:** [Molly Whipple](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Setback proposal  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 1:14:25 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Thank you,  
Molly Whipple  
Excelsior, MN

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Belle Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** VOTE NO!  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 1:47:16 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Belle Kelly  
612-819-3610

**From:** [Rick Trenary](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** 300" no wake zone.  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:14:46 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

**From:** [Molly Knopick](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Lake Setbacks  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:45:41 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Sincerely, Dr. Molly C. Knopick

**From:** [Katie knopick](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:31:51 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

We do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.



**From:** [Jabs](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed Wake Restrictions  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:26:46 PM

---

Good afternoon,

I am writing to voice my displeasure at the proposed wake restrictions. This is a major decision that impacts not only lake users and lakeshore property owners, but the local economy including waterfront restaurants. I and numerous others moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy watersports, fishing, and all the lake has to offer, not the no-wake lake that would be created if said restrictions pass.

A better solution would be stricter enforcement of the current 150 foot setback ordinance.

Thank you for your consideration.

**From:** [anna trenary](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Ordinance vote tonight  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:26:44 PM

---

Good evening,

As someone who lives on lake Minnetonka I wanted to reach out and ask that you vote against the law to make a no wake zone 300ft from shore or anchored boat. This would make much of lake Minnetonka a no wake zone. This is a great way to make a majority of lake Minnetonka upset. To enforce this rule would require a lot of supervision on the entire lake from police.

Thank you,  
Anna Trenary

**From:** [Randy Stanley](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** No to proposed 300 ft rule!!  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:22:19 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD:

I have lived on Smithtown Bay the last 10 years and enjoy the lake and watching others do the same with equal freedom to use our natural resource for boating, skiing, fishing, kayaking and surfing, etc. I would like to continue to do so and allow others to enjoy the lake the way they see fit as long as they are lawful and respectful of others.

We do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Please educate, not legislate!

Randy and Sharon Stanley  
6662 Smithtown Rd  
Excelsior, MN. 55331  
952-807-3790

Sent from a device with a tiny keyboard.

**From:** [caden fritz](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Lake set Backs  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:17:29 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, I do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. I've been on Lake Minnetonka my entire life and love to surf on the lake with friends and family. I feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Caden Fritz  
4570 W77th St  
Edina, MN 55435  
Suite 223  
612-655-0648  
MN License BC787489

**From:** [LMCD Website Form](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Contact Us  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 2:55:52 PM

---

**Name**

James Ronning

**Email**

[jimronning@msn.com](mailto:jimronning@msn.com)

**Phone**

(952) 212-5321

**Address**

740 Tonkawa Road  
Long Lake, MN 55356  
[Map It](#)

**Question or Comment**

I am opposed to the proposed revision that doubles the distance from shore, docks, swim areas and moored structures and boats from 150' to 300' for the 5mph speed limit zone.

The revised ordinance punishes general boating activities because of the need to restrict a specific subset of the boating traffic, namely surf boats.

Focus on the surf boat wake issue where the problem originated in the first place.

**From:** [Mark Hong](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** No Wake Proposal  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:22:29 PM

---

LMCD,

I am a homeowner on the lake and spend quite a bit of time on the lake each week. The proposed no-wake restrictions including no-wake when a boat is tied up in a bay is over-restrictive and will ruin the recreational activity of boating on the entirety of Lake Minnetonka. I can certainly appreciate the drive to improve conditions for all boaters on the lake, but going about it like this is an over simplification and will drive violations all over the place.

I am a firm believer that boater education relative to the current rule sets partnered with enforcement is a far better answer. But any rule set with absolutely no enforcement (as it seems today) will simply lead to more unhappy people complaining.

Thanks,  
Mark Hong  
Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Erika Krebs](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** LMCD - Proposed new ordinance  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:25:22 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we DO NOT support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. THIS IS IMPRACTICAL We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

LMCD is overstepping their bounds!

Regards,  
Erika Krebs

**From:** [Sandy Schmitt](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Support for LMCD ammendment  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:25:00 PM

---

To whom it may concern:

We are writing to express our support for the LMCD amendment which will replace the 150 ft 5 mph boat speed with 300 ft. 5mph restriction (being discussed tonight at the LMCD meeting in Wayzata). My husband and I recently purchased 2 lots on Enchanted Island and we have noticed the significant impact to the shoreline when boats move through the channel at significant speed. We are not able to attend the meeting this evening however we wanted to express our support for this amendment.

Dr. Stuart Baker and Sandy Schmitt Baker  
4000 Enchanted Lane  
Minnetrista MN,55364



**From:** [Jill Obele](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** We vote No  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:31:56 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

We DO NOT support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback.

This change would be a detriment to our local economy. If people can't play on the lake they're not going to buy boats or other water toys or go to dinner on the boat because it would take hours to get anywhere!

Also It would affect our local economy more than just water sports. Lake Minnetonka hosts many fishing contests. The fisherman zip from bay to bay but would not be able to do so if there was a boat anchored in the bay.

This is a ridiculous proposal and I cannot believe anyone would even consider making such a drastic change without serious research on how it would affect the reasonable use and enjoyment of the lake, economy, etc.

In gratitude,

Bill, Ivy and Jill Wolfson -crystal bay  
612-999-8133

**From:** [Evan Larson](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Vote  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:36:42 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we DO NOT support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. THIS IS IMPRACTICAL We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Dissipated it's even being considered.

LMCD is overstepping their bounds.

Thanks,  
Evan

**From:** [LMCD Website Form](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Contact Us  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:41:17 PM

---

**Name**

Neil Johnson

**Email**

[Johns296@umn.edu](mailto:Johns296@umn.edu)

**Phone**

(612) 558-6928

**Address**

6621 Parkwood Road  
Edina, MN 55436  
United States  
[Map It](#)

**Question or Comment**

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

**From:** [kerrylarson@comcast.net](mailto:kerrylarson@comcast.net)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** New Ordinance of setback wake, Not at all!  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 3:52:23 PM

---

Totally disagree with the proposed ordinance! I do **not** support the 300-foot rule, I did not move to Minnetonka to run around in the middle with other boats, where will this stop, next you will outlaw big boats, several bays would be unusable, would impact our economy, would increase traffic immensely in other bays. Why not enforce the current rule, we have plenty of patrol, have patrols out of the channels and in the middle of the lakes. You must consider the whole picture! Why would you not conder limiting access to lake through the landings? Many of the boats that violate this are not on the lake.

**Strongly disagree!**

Jay & Kerry Larson  
5116 Tuxedo Blvd  
Mound, MN 55364  
612-791-4408

**From:** [Sheri Pottebaum](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Opposed!!!  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 9:37:27 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Thank you!  
Todd and Sheri Pottebaum

**From:** [Wade Alness](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** New Rules  
**Date:** Thursday, August 11, 2022 7:04:01 AM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we DO NOT support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementation. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no-wake lake that you would be creating. THIS IS IMPRACTICAL We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Thanks,

Wade Alness  
6100 Southview Ct  
Mound, MN 55364

**From:** [Ava Lindsay](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Lake Rules  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 9:03:07 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

**From:** [Katie Lindsay](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Surfing  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 7:01:10 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Thank you,

Katie



**From:** [Jane Lindsay](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Petition  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 6:29:59 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,  
we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

**From:** [Addie Kelly](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Tonight's meets  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 5:37:51 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD, we do not support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 feet setback.

Addie Kelly

**From:** [MARNIE JOHNSON](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed lake ordinance  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 5:08:36 PM

---

Good Afternoon-

> As a lake land owner and lover of Lake Minnetonka I want you to know I oppose the “300 foot” setback that is being proposed. I believe this regulation will create congestion and bottlenecking in other areas of the lake, which is particularly dangerous for young boaters and those participating in lake activities. If waves are the primary concern, impactful waves dissipate within the current 100 foot regulation. These rules need to be properly enforced and we would have the concern. If this is a noise issue, a noise ordinance can easily be introduced and enforced.

>

> This is a huge change and should not be made hastily or without proper study.

>

> Thank you,

> Marnie Johnson & Erik Bender

2412 Lafayette Rd Wayzata

612-845-4016

>

> Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Rebecca McShane](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed ordinance of 300 ft setback  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 5:07:01 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

I am writing to express that I do not support the proposed ordinance of doubling the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat.

This impactful decision requires serious research and consideration before implementing. A 300 foot setback would have an adverse affect by shutting bays down with just 1-2 boats, which inadvertently would increase traffic on other bays.

This change would also be a detriment to our local economy. If people can't play on the lake, boat and other water craft sales will decline. This will affect business at lake restaurants too. Patrons will choose other places to eat if it takes an extremely long commute time.

The solution is to better enforce the existing 150 foot setback ordinance.

Thank you,  
Rebecca McShane  
3329 Crystal Bay Rd.  
Wayzata 55391  
952-836-8366

**From:** [LMCD Website Form](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Contact Us  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 5:03:16 PM

---

**Name**

Justin Houston

**Email**

[houstonju@hotmail.com](mailto:houstonju@hotmail.com)

**Phone**

(612) 845-9445

**Address**

4731 North Shore Dr  
Orono, MN 55364  
United States  
[Map It](#)

**Question or Comment**

I have been following very closely regarding the debate on waves generated by wake surf boats and I have significant concerns with the 300 foot no wake zone, especially with anchored boats. There are consistently multiple boats anchored in our bay on any nice afternoon and I am concerned that it will make our bay and many others mostly no wake zones for the vast majority of the summer. I hope you guys can continue to discuss this and I am confident you can come up with other options.

**From:** [Jordan Minich](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** New Rules  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 4:51:39 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD,

We DO NOT support the proposed ordinance of DOUBLING the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance. And would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which you would be creating. THIS IS IMPRACTICAL We feel the solution is better enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Jordan Minich

**From:** [M.Hubbard](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: LMCD Setback Proposal  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 4:38:52 PM

---

Hi- Please see below. And Please consider taking some time to take a step back and evaluate the unintended consequences of the policies you are recommending. Just continuing to delay a week or two and float nearly identical proposals is not effective or efficient or in the best interest of lake users.

Seemingly arbitrary and all encompassing regulations pushed through in a hurry rarely work out well for any interested party.

michaelphubbard@gmail.com  
319 631 3027

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** M Hubbard <michaelphubbard@gmail.com>  
**Date:** July 27, 2022 at 16:19:41 CDT  
**To:** [lmcd@lmcd.org](mailto:lmcd@lmcd.org)  
**Subject:** LMCD Setback Proposal

Hi-

As an Orno resident, and avid Lake Minnetonka user across multiple sports, I highly disagree with the current ordinance proposal to increase the setback to 300 feet.

I think this is putting a bandaid on the issue and does nothing to address the underlying issues of lack of enforcement and boater ignorance.

In addition, not only will this ordinance change not solve the problems, it will have negative unintended consequences like increasing boat traffic and potential for accidents in certain bays.

I strongly encourage you to not pass this new ordinance and instead focus on boater education and enforcement.

Thank you,  
Michael

michaelphubbard@gmail.com  
319 631 3027

**From:** [Lauri Roberts](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Cc:** [Jim Roberts](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed ordinance  
**Date:** Wednesday, August 10, 2022 5:36:40 PM

---

To whom it may concern at the LMCD:

We do not support the proposed ordinance of doubling the setback to 300 feet from a structure, swimmer, or anchored boat. This is a huge decision that requires serious research and consideration before implementing. 1 to 2 anchored boats would shut bays down with this proposed ordinance and would increase traffic on other bays. It will also impact our economy as boat sales feed our small towns. We moved to Lake Minnetonka to enjoy a recreational lake with our family – not a no wake lake which this ordinance would be creating. We feel a better solution is greater enforcement of the existing ordinance of 150 foot setback and education for new boaters.

Thank you!

Jim & Lauri Roberts



**From:** [Al Hanser](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Wave surfing  
**Date:** Thursday, August 11, 2022 9:42:33 AM

---

LMCD Board,

The boats pull their surfers way too close to shore. The waves are incessant and this summer they have caused two of our lines which tie the boat to fray and break leaving the boat to slam into the dock. I have fixed it several time, but the wave surfers seem to not care.

Thank you for working to resolve this destructive activity.

AHANSER@SANCAPTRUSTCO.COM  
Minnetonka Beach Resident



## Lake Minnetonka Conservation District

Name: Bill Olson

Address: 6340 Forest Cir.

Phone: 612-803-1578

Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 7/27/2022

### Comment/Concern:

180' WORKS JUST FINE FOR YEARS  
- WHO ENFORCES W/O MISSING THE BIG PICTURE  
- TEACH THROUGH AWARENESS OR BOATERS LICENSE

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**From:** [rayoungsmn@aol.com](mailto:rayoungsmn@aol.com)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Wake boats comments  
**Date:** Monday, August 15, 2022 8:30:04 AM

---

Hi! What happens on Lake Minnetonka will affect other Lakes with regard to wake boats.

The lake where we swim is 1/2 mile wide and 1-1/3 miles long.

Do you swim? Even if the boat is across the lake, the waves travel to the other side. When swimming, we are thrown around by the high waves, which then crash on shore over and over again. It also stirs up the particulate under the lake and floats sediment from the bottom of the lakes. Have you looked at what those boats are doing to the bottom of the lakes?

We cannot imagine little kids who play and swim by the shorelines. It is a danger. Wave runners are not for small lakes.

**From:** [Rob LaPrade](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Boat generated wakes  
**Date:** Saturday, August 13, 2022 8:33:25 PM

---

I am writing to the LMCD Board to provide input about my concerns with the large wakes created by wake boaters.

I strongly support an ordinance where wake boats should not operate within 300 feet of shore, especially during high water levels. We found that our rip rap was being undermined by the wake boat waves near shore during higher water.

Also, while we were anchored at about 150 feet from shore, we had a wake boat pass us full speed within 2 boat lengths, operated by a young teenage girl, that generated a wave that lifted our boat up so high that it broke off our anchor. Our replacement cost was \$1700 and we had to pay for it ourselves. This should not be allowed to happen and wake boats should not be allowed to operate within 300 feet of another anchored boat.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rob LaPrade  
Tonka Bay, Minnesota

**From:** [rhlankos@gmail.com](mailto:rhlankos@gmail.com)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Subject:** Email Notifications  
**Date:** Monday, August 15, 2022 10:07:34 AM

---

Please include me on your email notification list.

Also, where can I find a final adopted copy of:

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING WATERCRAFT AND PERSONAL WATERCRAFT SPEED LIMITS UNDER THE LAKE MINNETONKA CONSERVATION DISTRICT CODE OF ORDINANCES

This email is from Randy Langkos, I live on Balsam Lake Wisconsin, about 75 miles NE of downtown Minneapolis.

I've been following the process that has led to the August 10 decision of the LMCD to adopt the new ordinance regarding speed and distance from shore.

Balsam Lake is a "mini" Lake Minnetonka. 2054 acres with many bays, inlets and islands. I have been a property owner on Balsam since 1982.

As you have found on Minnetonka, surf boat wakes have become a significant concern on our lake.

Congrats on your great work, thanks for your help.

Randy Langkos  
1441 188<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Balsam Lake, WI 54810

[rhlankos@gmail.com](mailto:rhlankos@gmail.com)  
715-296-4270

**From:** [Phil Little](#)  
**To:** [LMCD](#)  
**Cc:** [land3lord@aol.com](mailto:land3lord@aol.com)  
**Subject:** Wake surfing  
**Date:** Monday, August 15, 2022 4:20:29 PM

---

Whatever regulations you and the wake surfing community agree upon, I think the enforcement of any set back (200, 500 or 1000 feet) could be augmented with uniquely shaped and/or colored buoys. You may want to print a message on them which says NO WAKE SURFING/BOARDING HERE TO SHORE. Violations call 952-000-0000. The phone number will enlist other boaters to report violations. In a year or two the issue should dissolve



Thanks,  
Phil Little